Comparisons of Hook Types: A Summary of Past Studies

George Schisler and Eric Bergersen

Colorado Cooperative Fish & Wildlife Research Unit

Comparisons of Hook Types: A Summary of Past Studies

George Schisler and Eric Bergersen

Colorado Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit

January 11, 1995

Introduction

Debates over benefits of barbless versus barbed hooks or single versus treble hooks have been going on for decades. Proponents of barbless hooks have argued that they are easier to remove and thus cause less tissue damage to the fish than barbed hooks. Opponents claim barbed hooks cause lower mortalities because the barb prevents the hook from penetrating areas like the roof of the mouth too deeply. Anglers in favor of single hooks make the argument that treble hooks become embedded in more than one location and are harder to remove than single hooks. Others favor treble hooks and maintain that the relatively large size of the treble hook prevents fish from swallowing the hook entirely, which prevents internal organ damage. Intuitive reasoning may give anglers different opinions on these debates depending on individual experiences with different fly and lure types or hook sizes. Many scientific studies have been conducted to evaluate the differences between barbed, barbless, single, and treble hooks. This paper is a summary of past studies that could be found in the literature where direct comparisons of salmonid mortality were made between hook types

Barbed Versus Barbless Hooks

A summary of studies where direct comparisons were made between barbed and barbless hooks is shown in the following graph. Descriptions of the studies shown will follow. Sample sizes, along with numbers of fish that lived and died in each experiment are shown in Table 1. Statistical tests of significance between hook types were evaluated using were two-tailed tests for comparing binomial proportions (Ott 1993) with an alpha level of 0.05.

COMPARISON OF BARBED AND BARBLESS HOOK MORTALITY



Study #1 is the oldest recorded study of this kind found in the literature. It was conducted by Thompson (1946) on an unknown species of trout in New Mexico. Comparisons were made between mortalities of trout caught on barbed and barbless flies. Barbed hook mortalities were 5.9%, and barbless hook mortalities were 5.0%. No significant difference was found between the two hook types (p=.4013).

The second study was conducted by Hunsaker, Marnell, and Sharpe (1970) at Yellowstone Lake, Wyoming. Cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus bouvieri*) were captured on lures with barbed and barbless treble hooks. Mortalities were 6.0% for barbless hooks and 2.7% for barbed hooks. The difference was not significant (p=.1131).

Study #3 was also conducted by Hunsaker, Marnell and Sharpe (1970). Again on cutthroat trout in Yellowstone Lake, Wyoming. Comparisons were made between barbed and barbless flies. As with the other studies, no significant differences (p=.4207) were found between barbed (4.0%) and barbless hooks (3.3%).

The fourth study, conducted by Falk, Gillman, and Dahlke (1974) evaluated mortality of lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*) with barbed and barbless treble hooks on the Great Slave Lake

in Canada. While barbless hooks caused slightly higher mortalities (7.0%) than barbed hooks (6.9%), the results were not significantly different (p=.4920).

Study #5 was conducted by Bjornn (1975), who compared mortalities of barbed and barbless flies on cutthroat trout in the St. Joe River in Idaho. Mortalities were 0.8% for barbless hooks and 0.4% for barbed hooks. The results were not significantly different (p=.2912).

Study #6 was also conducted by Bjornn (1975) on the St. Joe River with cutthroat trout. Mortalities caused by lures with barbed and barbless treble hooks was evaluated. Mortalities for barbless hooks was 1.2%, and mortalities for barbed hooks was 2.4%. These were nonsignificant differences (p=.2005).

Studies #7 and #8 were conducted by Titus and Vanicek (1988) who evaluated mortalities of lure-caught cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki henshawi*) in Heenan lake, California with barbed and barbless treble hooks on lures. Barbed hooks resulted in mortalities of 0% and 2.6%, while barbless hooks resulted in mortalities of 3.5% and 2.1%. One other replicate was conducted at Heenan Lake by Titus and Vanicek in mid-summer at high water temperatures (21 degrees C). Barbed hooks caused mortalities of 48.1% and barbless hooks caused mortalities of 35.3%. Cutthroat trout reach their upper lethal temperature at 21 to 24 degrees C., so the results of that replicate experiment were heavily influenced by the high water temperatures. Because of the confounding effect of high water temperature, we left that replicate out of the data set shown in figure 1. Study #7 resulted in nonsignificant differences between hook types (p=.1660), as did study # 8 (p=.4129).

The overall results include the combined total mortality from barbed and barbless hooks in all of the studies shown in figure 1. These mortality values average 2.5% for barbed hooks and 2.6% for barbless hooks. These values are not significantly different (p=.4247). Mortalities for both barbed and barbless hooks on artificial flies and lures are generally very low. The results of all studies thus far indicate that there is no benefit to using barbed or barbless hooks.

Treble Versus Single Hooks

Mortalities caused by treble and single hooks have been evaluated as extensively as barbed versus barbless hooks. A summary of past studies on treble versus single hooks is shown in the following graph. Descriptions of those studies will follow. Sample sizes and numbers of mortalities are shown in Table 2.

COMPARISONS OF TREBLE AND SINGLE HOOK MORTALITY



Studies #1 and #2 (Klein 1965) compared mortality of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) caught and released on lures with single and treble hooks in a rearing pond. Mortalities were 1.3% for trout caught on single hooks, and 1.8% for those caught on treble hooks in the first replicate experiment. Mortalities in the second replicate experiment were 10.3% for fish caught on single hooks, and 4.8% for fish caught on treble hooks. No significant differences were found in study #1 (p=.3336), although single hooks caused significantly higher mortalities than treble hooks (p=.0078) in study #2.

The third study, conducted by Warner (1976) evaluated mortalities of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) caught in a hatchery environment on lures with barbed treble and barbed single hooks. Mortalities were 0.3% for treble and 2.7% for single hooks. Mortality from single hooks was significantly higher than mortality for treble hooks in this study (p=.0057).

The fourth study was conducted by Warner (1978), and evaluated mortalities of Atlantic salmon caught on lures with treble and single hooks in a lake situation. No significant differences were found between the treble (7.8%) and single (14.7%) hooks (p=.0526).

Study #5 was conducted by Warner (1978) on Atlantic Salmon in a lake environment, and

compared treble versus single hook flies. Treble hook flies resulted in 25.6% mortality, while single hook flies resulted in 11.5% mortality. Treble hook flies did not cause significantly higher mortality at alpha level of 0.05, but did at alpha level of 0.10 (p=.0401). The author reported that the difference may have been due to the very small size treble hooks used (No. 10), which may have been easily ingested.

Study #6 was also conducted by Warner (1979) on Atlantic Salmon in a hatchery situation. Mortalities from lures with treble hooks were 6.0%, and mortalities from lures with single hooks were 4.6%. These results are not significantly different (p=.2266).

Studies #7 and #8 were conducted simultaneously by Nuhfer and Alexander (1992) on brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). Treble and single hook mortality was evaluated for two different lure types. These were Cleo spoons (Study #7) and Mepps spinners (Study #8). Treble hooks caused mortalities of 5.6% in study #7 and 10.93% in study #8. Single hooks caused mortalities of 1.61% in study #7, and 3.15% in study #8. Study #7 showed significant differences (p=.0401) between hook types only after the alpha level was lowered to 0.10. Significant differences (p=.0075) were found in study #8 at alpha level of .05.

All studies combined result in overall mortalities of 5.3% for single hooks, and 4.9% for treble hooks. No significant difference between treble and single hooks was found with the combined totals (p=.3483). These data suggest that there is no benefit to using either treble or single hooks.

Summary

Very little evidence has been found to support any particular hook type to reduce catch and release mortality. No consistent patterns can be found in past studies that favor one hook type over another. Individual preference should dictate what hook type an angler uses. We recommend that if anglers want to fine tune their ability to release fish alive, they should try different types of hooks, and depending on the size of the fish being captured, voracity of the fish, fishing location, and other factors, decide for themselves what hook type works the best to minimize mortality.

Literature Cited

Bjornn, T. C. 1975. The St. Joe River Cutthroat Fishery - A Case History of Angler Preference. Annu. Conf. West. Assoc. State Game Fish Comm., Proc. 55:187-194.

Falk, M. R., D. V. Gillman, and L. W. Dahlke. 1974. Comparison of Mortality between Barbed and Barbless Hooked Lake Trout. Environment Canada, Fish. Mar. Serv., Resour. Manage. Branch, Central Region, Tech. Rep. Series No. CEN/T-74-1. 28 p.

Hunsaker, D., II, L. F. Marnell, and F. P. Sharpe. 1970. Hooking Mortality of Yellowstone Cutthroat Trout. Prog. Fish-Cult. 32(4):231-235.

Klein, W. D. 1965. Mortality of Rainbow Trout Caught on Single and Treble Hooks and Released. Prog. Fish-Cult. 27(3):171-172.

Nuhfer, A. J., and G. R. Alexander. 1992. Hooking Mortality of Trophy-Sized Wild Brook Trout Caught on Artificial Lures. North Amer. Jour. Fish. Manage. 12(3):634-644.

Ott, R. L. 1993. An Introduction to Statistical Methods and Data Analysis. Duxbury Press, Belmont California.

Titus, R. G., and C. D. Vanicek. Comparative Hooking Mortality of Lure-Caught Lahontan Cutthroat Trout at Heenan Lake, California. Calif. Fish and Game 74(4):218-255.

Thompson, F. 1946. Experiment Proves Small Fish are Worth Saving. New Mexico Dept. Game Fish, Tech. Rep. 11-F. 2 p.

Warner, K. 1976. Hooking Mortality of Landlocked Atlantic Salmon, Salmo salar, in a Hatchery Environment. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 105(3):365-369.

_____. 1978. Hooking Mortality of Lake-Dwelling Landlocked Atlantic Salmon, Salmo salar. Trans. Am. Fish Soc. 107(4):518-522.

_____. 1979. Mortality of Landlocked Atlantic Salmon Hooked on Four Types of Fishing Gear at the Hatchery. Prog. Fish-Cult. 41(2):99-102.

TABLE 1. Mortality studies of barbed versus barbless hooks.

Author	Species	Hook Type	Caught	Killed	Mortality (%)
Thompson (1946)	UNKNOWN	BARBED	51	3	5.9
		BARBLESS	60	3	5.0
Hunsaker, Marnell, and	CUTTHROAT	BARBED	113	3	2.7
Sharpe (1970)		BARBLESS	100	6	6.0
Hunsaker, Marnell, and	CUTTHROAT	BARBED	75	3	4.0
Sharpe (1970)		BARBLESS	60	2	3.3
Falk, Gillman, and	LAKE TROUT	BARBED	72	5	6.9
Dahlke (1974)		BARBLESS	57	4	7.0
Bjornn (1975)	CUTTHROAT	BARBED	256	1	0.4
		BARBLESS	264	2	0.8
Bjornn (1975)	CUTTHROAT	BARBED	209	5	2.4
		BARBLESS	166	2	1.2
Titus and Vanicek (1988)	CUTTHROAT	BARBED	27	0	0.0
		BARBLESS	29	1	3.5
Titus and Vanicek (1988)	CUTTHROAT	BARBED	77	2	2.6
		BARBLESS	95	2	2.1
OVERALL	MIXED	BARBED	880	22	2.5
		BARBLESS	831	22	2.6

TABLE 2. Mortality studies of single versus treble hooks.

Author	Species	Hook Type	Caught	Killed	Mortality (%)
Klein (1965)	RAINBOW TROUT	SINGLE	233	3	1.3
		TREBLE	224	4	1.8
Klein (1965)	RAINBOW TROUT	SINGLE	272	28	10.3
		TREBLE	271	13	4.8
Warner (1976)	A. SALMON	SINGLE	296	8	2.7
		TREBLE	333	1	0.3
Warner (1978)	A. SALMON	SINGLE	95	14	14.7
		TREBLE	116	9	7.8
Warner (1978)	A. SALMON	SINGLE	52	6	11.5
		TREBLE	39	10	25.6
Warner (1979)	A. SALMON	SINGLE	302	14	4.6
		TREBLE	300	18	6.0
Nuhfer and	BROOK TROUT	SINGLE	124	2	1.6
Alexander (1992)		TREBLE	125	7	5.6
Nuhfer and	BROOK TROUT	SINGLE	127	4	3.2
Alexander (1992)		TREBLE	128	14	10.9
OVERALL	MIXED	SINGLE	1501	79	5.3
		TREBLE	1536	76	4.9