MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND PARKS

FISHERIES DIVISION
JOB PROGRESS REPORT

STATE: MONTANA PROJECT TITLE: STATEWIDE FISHERIES INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT NO.: F-78-R-6 STUDY TITLE: SURVEY AND INVENTORY OF WARMWATER LAKES

JOB NO.: IV-C JOB TITLE: FORT PECK RESERVOIR STUDY

PROJECT PERIOD: JULY 1, 2019 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2020
REPORT PERIOD: MARCH 1, 2019 THROUGH FEBRUARY 29, 2020

ABSTRACT

Fort Peck Reservoir reached peak elevation on July 12th, 2020 at 2240.64 mean feet above sea level (MSL) from a minimum elevation on February 23rd, 2020 at 2234.66 MSL, an increase of 5.98 feet. Spawning walleye populations were sampled in the upper Big Dry Arm with modified fyke nets from April 8th to April 18th, 2020. Walleye eggs were collected and the fertilized eggs were sent to Fort Peck and Miles City fish hatcheries. Trap netting (non-standardized) captured 1,647 walleye for a catch rate of 16.3 per net night which was up from the previous year of 10.0 per net night. Due to favorable spawning conditions, 69 million walleye eggs were collected. A total of 33 million fry and 4.2 million walleye fingerlings were stocked in various locations throughout Fort Peck Reservoir. One hundred gill nets were set in standard locations throughout the reservoir from July 15th to August 6th, 2020. Walleye, northern pike, and common carp were the most abundant species captured overall, with catch rates of 3.2, 2.1, and 2.0 per net night, respectively. Relative abundance of walleye in 2020 was down from the previous year at 3.2 per net night and below the long-term average of 3.8 per net for the period from (1992 to 2020). Gill-netted walleye averaged 17.7 inches and 2.9 pounds. In 2020, relative abundance decreased for stock-size walleye while catch rates for all other length groups remained similar. Relative weights of walleye for all size groups increased slightly in 2020 except for stock length fish. Northern pike relative abundance decreased to 2.1 per net night which is at the long-term average of 2.1 per net night for the period of 1992 to 2020. Average size of gill-netted northern pike was 25.2 inches and 4.3 pounds. Overall, relative abundance of shoreline forage decreased to 86.7 per haul in 2020 which was below the long-term average of 168 per haul from 1990 to 2020. Young-of-year crappie were the most abundant species captured from shoreline seining in 2020 at 41.3 per seine haul. A total of 146,294 chinook salmon were stocked at Duck Creek, Marina, and Milk Coulee bay in June of 2020 at an average size of 25.2 fish/pound. Young-of-year cisco relative abundance increased to 172.8 per net night in 2020 which was above the long-term average of 75.1 per net night for the period of 1990 to 2020.

OBJECTIVES AND DEGREE OF ATTAINMENT

Activity 1 - Survey and Inventory

Objective: To survey and monitor the characteristics and trends of fish populations and to assess habitat conditions in Fort Peck Reservoir. This objective was met and is presented in the Results and Discussion section of this report.

Activity 2 - Fish Population Management

Objective: To implement fish stocking programs to maintain fish populations at levels consistent with habitat conditions and other limiting factors. This objective was met and results are presented in Results and Discussion of this report.

Activity 3 - Technical Guidance

Objective: To review projects by government agencies and private parties that have the potential to affect fisheries resources, provide technical advice or decisions to mitigate effects on these resources, and provide landowners and other private parties with technical advice and information to sustain and enhance fisheries resources. This objective was met by evaluating the impact of reservoir water levels on the Fort Peck Reservoir fishery and was presented to North and South Dakota fisheries personnel during annual Missouri River mainstem reservoir meetings. This information was also presented to Corps of Engineers to make recommendations for Annual Operating Plan (AOP). The walleye otolith microchemistry project that examined hatchery and natal origins was completed. Results of this study were presented at the Montana American Fisheries Society meeting during the winter of 2020. Objectives of the Fort Peck Reservoir Fisheries Management Plan (FPRFMP) are presented in the Results and Discussion of this report. The FPRFMP will guide fisheries management activities on Fort Peck Reservoir for a ten-year period (2012-2022). Objective accomplished.

Activity 4 - Aquatic Education

Objective: To enhance the public's understanding, awareness and support of the state's fishery and aquatic resources and to assist young people to develop angling skills and to appreciate the aquatic environment. Sixty-seven volunteers assisted with the annual walleye egg-taking operation in the upper Big Dry Arm of Fort Peck Reservoir in 2020. Reservoir staff assisted with kids fishing clinics and as science fair judges. Staff also assisted the regional information and education officer with several press releases, interviews on the Montana Outdoor Radio Show (x2), and multiple Fisheries Friday posts for the R6 Facebook page. Staff attended Walleyes Unlimited meetings in Billings and Lewistown, to present annual updates on the status of the Fort Peck Reservoir fishery. Objective accomplished.

STUDY AREA

Fort Peck Reservoir is a large earth-filled dam on the Missouri River located in northeastern Montana. Figure 1 depicts major roads around Fort Peck Reservoir, select locations and 5 sampling regions the reservoir is divided into: upper Big Dry Arm (UBD), lower Big Dry Arm (LBD), lower Missouri Arm (LMA), middle Missouri Arm (MMA), and upper Missouri Arm (UMA). The dam was closed in 1937 and is the largest water body in the state of Montana, with 240,000 surface acres at full multiple use pool. Full flood pool is reached at 2250 and multiple use pool is reached at 2246 mean feet above sea level (MSL). At full multiple use pool 1,500 miles of shoreline exists in 130 linear miles of the reservoir with a maximum depth of 220 feet. The bottom of the multiple use pool is 2234.19 MSL and the bottom of the multipurpose carryover zone is 2160 feet MSL. Fort Peck Reservoir reached peak elevation on July 12th, 2020 at 2240.64 mean feet above sea level (MSL) from a minimum elevation on February 23rd, 2020 at 2234.66, an increase of 5.98 feet (Figure 2). Reservoir elevations are predicted to rise approximately 5 feet from March through July and fall beginning in July of 2021 based on the December median runoff forecast (USACE 2020).



Figure 1. Fort Peck study area describing major sampling zones and select specific locations.



Figure 2. Peak monthly reservoir elevations on Fort Peck Reservoir from January 1990 to January 2020 (Data provided by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers).

SAMPLING METHODS

Data Collection

- Spring trap netting efforts were conducted from April 8th to April 18th, 2020 in the Big Dry Arm with 4-ft x 6-ft modified fyke nets of 1-in square mesh rigged and 30 to 50-ft leads. These sites are not standardized due to fluctuations in reservoir elevations. This netting effort is targeted for collection of walleye and northern pike to provide an egg source to meet stocking requests for Fort Peck Reservoir and other sport fisheries for the state of Montana. Not all fish are weighed and measured during the egg-taking effort due to time constraints, limited manpower, and rough conditions at times. Therefore, subsamples of fish are presented in the tables and length frequency distributions of this report. Vokoun et al. (2001) recommended using 300-400 individuals when constructing length frequency distributions with a given accuracy and precision.
- Limnological sampling was conducted at six sites (Bug Creek, Spring Creek, Haxby, Pines, Hell Creek, and Timber Creek) throughout the reservoir. Profile measurements were collected at 10-ft intervals using a Hydrolab equipped with a DS5 probe and Surveyor 4 data logger from May through September during the middle of each month. Profile measurements were recorded from the subsurface to the maximum depth at each site. Specific measurements included: temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen (mg/L), pH (standard units), turbidity (NTU), and total dissolved solids (g/L). A detailed table is located in Appendix 3 of the report.
- Zooplankton samples were collected using a 153 µ mesh net with a 12-in diameter opening and a 1:3 cone. Sampling was conducted at the same six sites listed above to address differences in general productivity and morphology of the reservoir. Fifty-foot vertical tows were performed monthly at each of the sampling stations from May through September. Two tows were conducted at each site and pooled into one sample. Zooplankton processing methods follow those described by Leathe and Graham (1982).
- One hundred sinking experimental multifilament gill nets 125-ft x 6-ft deep consisting of 25-ft panels of ¾, 1, 1 ¼, 1 ½, and 2-in square mesh were fished from 10 to 30-ft depths at standardized locations. Gill netting occurred from July 15th to August 4th, 2020 to monitor distribution, species composition, relative abundance, and population parameters for game and native species throughout the reservoir. A list of sampling dates by region, water surface temperature and reservoir elevation during time of sampling are presented in Appendix 3.
- Walleye otoliths were removed from all walleye collected during reservoir-wide gillnetting. Otoliths were mounted in epoxy and cut into thin sections on an Isomet saw and later mounted on glass slides. Walleye otoliths were used as an aging structure because of their higher precision when compared to scales and spines (Erickson 1983; Isermann et al. 2003). Growth was expressed as mean length-at-age at time of capture in July/August for walleye.
- Beach seining was conducted from August 4th to September 3rd, 2020 using a 100-ft x 9-ft beach seine of 3/16-in square mesh at 100 standardized locations throughout the reservoir, to determine relative abundance and reproductive success of game and forage fish.
- Twelve multifilament gill nets 100-ft x 6-ft with ½-in square mesh were fished vertically from the water's surface to sample young-of-year cisco from September 16th to September 24th, 2020. Additional mesh sizes of ¾, 1, 1 ¼, 1 ½-in mesh were incorporated in 2013 to sample adult cisco. Only the lower Big Dry, lower Missouri, and middle Missouri Arm regions were sampled because they contained sufficient depths of 100 ft. Lengths and weights were collected from the first 100 cisco captured per mesh, per site. Otoliths were removed for age estimation (10 per 10-mm length group per sampling region). Otoliths were mounted in epoxy and cut into thin sections on an Isomet saw and later mounted on glass slides (Secor et al. 1992). A total of 165 cisco otoliths were aged in 2020.
- Boat mounted electrofishing was used during October 5th to October 20th, 2020 to locate, sample, and collect chinook salmon as part of the annual egg-take effort.
- Chinook salmon otoliths were collected from all fish used in the egg taking process. Otolith preparation followed methods outlined by Secor et al. (1992). Otoliths were mounted in epoxy and cut into thin sections on an Isomet saw and later mounted on glass slides.

Data Analysis

Relative abundance of fish species was expressed as mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) for modified fyke nets (No./net night), gill net (No./net night), and seine catches (No./haul).

Proportional stock density (PSD; Anderson and Weithman 1978) and relative stock density (RSD) values were calculated for channel catfish, northern pike, sauger, smallmouth bass, and walleye (Gablehouse 1984). However, the terminology to PSD has been changed to proportional size distribution and use of RSD was discontinued to assist in communication and name the index more correctly (Guy et al. 2007). Length categories used to calculate PSD values are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Minimum lengths (in) of length-class designations used when calculating proportional size distribution values for fish population survey samples.

Species	Length Class				
	Stock	Quality	Preferred	Memorable	Trophy
Channel catfish	11	16	24	28	36
Northern pike	14	21	28	34	44
Sauger	8	12	15	20	25
Smallmouth bass	7	11	14	17	20
Walleye	10	15	20	25	30

Relative weights (*Wr*; Anderson 1980) were calculated using the standard weight (*Ws*) equations developed for channel catfish (Brown et al. 1995), cisco (Fisher and Fielder 1998), northern pike (Willis 1989), smallmouth bass (Kolander et al. 1993), and walleye (Murphy et al. 1990). Calculated values for channel catfish and northern pike are presented in Appendix 4, while values for walleye and cisco are presented in the results and discussion section of this report. Proportional size distribution, PSD-P, and *Wr* values were calculated using EXCEL.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Spring Trap Netting

Spawning walleye and northern pike populations were sampled from Nelson Creek to McGuire Creek area of Fort Peck Reservoir from April 8th to April 18th, 2020. A total of 101-trap days were committed to walleye spawning efforts in 2020. Netting effort was lower than previous years due to favorable water temperatures during trap netting efforts which led to increased catch rates of walleye and more eggs collected in a short amount of time. Ice cover typically recedes by the first week in April and the walleye spawning operation concludes in three to four weeks. Water surface temperatures were 43°F when trap netting efforts commenced and gradually increased to 48°F on April 18th. Walleye spawning activity peaks when water temperatures are 43°F to 50°F in the north-central United States (Becker 1983). In addition, FWP staff was limited in manpower efforts, which resulted in fewer trap nets set, as no public volunteers could assist with the trap netting and egg-taking operation due COVID-19 guidelines issued.

Because of normal ice-off conditions and gradually increasing water temperatures in 2020, the egg-take goal of 60 million was exceeded and 69 million total eggs were collected. Due to these favorable water temperatures and ice receding at a normal time, large numbers of ripe female walleye (44%) were captured during the operation. In addition, 45% of the female walleye captured were green and only 11% were spent female walleye during the 2020 trap netting effort. In contrast, higher than normal numbers of spent female walleye (61%) were captured in 2018 due to late ice cover followed by a rapid increase in water temperatures. It's possible some walleye ascended portions of the Big Dry Creek while there was still ice on the main portion of the reservoir and attempted to spawn in 2018. It should be noted that Liebelt (1979) observed natural reproduction of walleye during periods of higher reservoir elevations and higher inflows to the Big Dry Arm.

The fertilized walleye eggs were sent to Fort Peck and Miles City Fish Hatcheries. A total of 33 million fry and 4.2 million walleye fingerlings were stocked in various locations throughout Fort Peck Reservoir in 2020 (Appendix 2). Kerr (2011) recommended walleye release sites should be increased as size and basin complexity of the waterbody increases to distribute them over as wide an area as possible. The goal of 3 million fingerlings for Fort Peck Reservoir was met (FPRFMP 2012). This was due to above average fingerling production at the Miles City and Fort Peck hatcheries. Favorable water temperatures and plankton production were observed in the rearing ponds at Fort Peck and Miles City hatcheries during the time of fry stocking which likely increased survival (i.e., Wade Geraets, personal communication).

Walleye

Relative abundance of walleye captured in spring trap nets was 16.3 per net in 2020, which increased from the previous year, and was above the long-term average of 6.9 per net (1990-2020; Table 2). Average length and weight were slightly lower; 21.2 inches in 2019 to 20.6 inches in 2020. Length frequency distributions showed 60% of walleye were greater than 20 inches in 2019 compared to 54% in 2020 (Figure 3). The combination of fewer female walleye measured in 2020 and higher numbers of male walleye 15-18 inches influenced this trend (Figure 4). Typically, more male walleye are captured than females during trap netting, but more females were captured in 2019. A total of 1,128 female and 821 male walleye were captured in 2019 compared to 907 female and 722 male walleye in 2020. In general, length frequency distributions during the spring trap netting effort indicated male walleye were smaller when compared to female; however, male walleye up to 28 inches were captured (Figure 4).

Table 2. Summary of mean CPUE (No./net-night), mean length (in), and mean weight (lb)walleye and northern pike captured during spring trap netting in the upper Big Dry Arm of Fort Peck Reservoir, 1990-2020. N is the total number of walleye and northern pike collected.

Year	Date	Net-Nights	Walleye N	Walleye CPUE	Northern pike N	Northern pike CPUE
1990	(4/05-5/04)	292	1,863	6.4	513	1.8
1991	(4/09-5/10)	375	793	2.1	491	1.3
1992	(4/07-4/29)	278	1,585	5.7	684	2.5
1993	(4/15-4/30)	172	1,945	11.3	201	1.2
1994	(4/12-4/26)	168	1,882	11.2	160	1
1995	(4/11-4/28)	473	3,284	6.9	648	1.4
1996	(4/15-5/02)	391	3,231	8.3	2,307	5.9
1997	(4/15-4/29)	307	3,937	12.8	2,652	8.6
1998	(4/04-4/29)	477	2,806	5.9	1,354	2.8
1999	(3/27-4/26)	434	5,673	13.1	2,573	5.9
2000	(4/04-4/28)	392	2,126	5.4	603	1.5
2001	(4/06-4/27)	328	3,362	10.3	1,922	5.9
2002	(4/17-5/09)	349	2,377	6.8	1,713	4.9
2003	(4/11-5/01)	426	2,366	5.6	1,579	3.7
2004	(4/09-4/26)	324	2,323	7.2	2,174	6.7
2005	(4/06-4/27)	537	2,030	3.8	1,327	2.5
2006	(4/12-5/01)	579	2,345	4.1	503	0.9
2007	(4/03-5/01)	617	2,478	4	1,425	2.3
2008	(4/18-5/07)	383	1,151	3	629	1.6
2009	(4/18-4/28)	176	1,740	9.9	813	4.6
2010	(4/13-4/30)	289	1,470	5.1	525	1.8
2011	(4/18-5/06)	399	1,341	2.8	911	2.3
2012	(3/27-5/01)	730	1,576	2.2	1,499	2.1
2013	(4/17-5/10)	484	2,176	4.5	5,082	10.5
2014	(4/18-5/05)	363	1,670	4.6	2,864	7.9
2015	(3/31-4/23)	405	1,740	4.3	1,147	2.8
2016	(3/29-4/21)	427	2,672	6.3	2,382	5.6
2017	(4/05-4/23)	277	2,261	8.2	1,040	3.8
2018	(4/23-5/08)	255	1,280	5.7	936	4.2
2019	(4/11-4/26)	205	2,058	10	1,301	6.3
2020	(4/08-4/18)	101	1,647	16.3	380	3.8



Figure 3. Length frequency of subsampled walleye collected during spring trap netting in the upper Big Dry Arm of Fort Peck Reservoir, 2017-2020.



Figure 4. Length frequency of subsampled male and female walleye collected during spring trap netting in the upper Big Dry Arm of Fort Peck Reservoir, 2019-2020.

LIMNOLOGY AND ZOOPLANKTON MONITORING

Water temperature in Fort Peck Reservoir ranged from 23.8°C at the subsurface to 5.2°C at the bottom (Appendix 3). Temperatures throughout the water column were coolest during May and warmest during August. Water temperatures below the surface were warmest at the uppermost sites (Timber Creek and Bug Creek) during the sampling period but gradually decreased at each site moving downstream towards the dam area.

Thermal stratification of Fort Peck Reservoir was not observed until July and strong thermoclines were present in August and September (Appendix 3). Each site was thermally stratified during the month of August and continued into September with the exception of Timber Creek. Thermocline depth varied by month and site. The most pronounced thermocline was located at the Haxby site during July (Figure 5; Appendix 3).

Dissolved oxygen concentrations were highest (11.1 mg/L) during May when the reservoir was coolest. More uniform dissolved oxygen levels were also observed during this time when near isothermal conditions were present (Appendix 3). Dissolved oxygen concentrations decreased to their lowest levels during late summer/early fall. Dissolved oxygen levels fell below 5 mg/L near the bottom at Pines, Hell Creek, and Timber Creek during August and September. It should be noted that dissolved oxygen levels of less than 5 mg/L may limit some deep-water salmonid habitat (e.g., lake trout; Sellers et al. 1998). No anoxic conditions were observed at any of the locations in 2020.

The maximum estimated zooplankton density was 102.5/L which occurred in June of 2020 and was comprised largely of rotifers. Cyclopoids represented the zooplankton community throughout the sampling season and highest densities were observed during June at 36.6/L. *Daphnia* were the most abundant cladoceran sampled and were most abundant during July (Figure 6). Cladocerans, *Leptodora* and *Diaphanosoma*, were present in small numbers and were only collected periodically. These trends in seasonal abundance are similar to previous findings on Fort Peck Reservoir and other large mainstem Missouri River Reservoir systems (Wiedenheft 1985; Mullins 1991; Fielder 1992).

Comparison of total densities for all zooplankton from each station varied slightly by year and location (Figure 7). Wiedenheft (1985) noted a similar trend in zooplankton density. Mean densities of zooplankton by location in 2020 were similar to those observed in 2019. Moderate inflows into Fort Peck Reservoir occurred in 2019 and 2020, which increased reservoir elevations slightly, due to average plains and mountain snowpack. Increased inflows and increases in reservoir elevation have been shown to increase standing crops of zooplankton and diversity of the zooplankton community (Martin et al. 1981).



Figure 5. Depth profiles of temperature (°C) and oxygen (mg/L) located near Haxby Point on Fort Peck Reservoir, May-September 2020.



Figure 6. Mean zooplankton density (number of organisms/L) pooled from reservoir-wide samples by taxonomic group and month for Fort Peck Reservoir, 2020.



Figure 7. Mean zooplankton density (number of organisms/L) pooled for all months (May-September) for Fort Peck Reservoir, 2019-2020.

RESERVOIR-WIDE GILL NETTING

Standard experimental gill nets were set throughout the reservoir from July 15th to August 4th, 2020 when water surface temperatures ranged from 68.7°F to 78.7°F. Gill netting provides information on species distribution; composition, relative abundance, population parameters, and stomach contents of game species. Sixteen species were captured for a total of 1,800 fish (Table 2). Walleye, northern pike, and common carp were the most abundant species captured overall, with catch rates of 3.2, 2.1, and 2.0 per net night, respectively. Fish with catch rates equal to or greater than 1.0 per-net night include: channel catfish, goldeye, river carpsucker, shorthead redhorse, smallmouth bass, and smallmouth buffalo.

Walleye

Relative abundance of walleye in 2020 was 3.2 per net which was down from the previous year (Figure 8). This was slightly below the long-term average of 3.8 per net from 1992 to 2020. The three-year running average goal of 3.6 per net was met (4.3 per net in 2018-2020) as outlined in the FPRFMP. Stock-length size groups comprised the largest group of walleye sampled in 2020 suggesting favorable growth and survival (Figure 8). Relative abundance of walleye was greatest in the upper Missouri arm with a catch rate of 3.9 per net (Table 3).

Length frequency distributions of walleye in 2020 indicated a broad length distribution of fish with no apparent strong year class present unlike previous years (Figure 9). In 2019, there was a high abundance of 11 to 15-inch fish that comprised 49% of the walleye sampled and another group from 23 to 28 inches representing 18% of all walleye captured (Figure 9). In 2018, this group measured 21 to 25-inches and represented 25% of all walleye captured and 32% of all walleye gill netted in 2017 as 19 to 22-inch fish. Both years would suggest multiple, large year classes present. Based on length frequencies, walleye in Fort Peck Reservoir don't recruit to experimental gill nets until they are greater than 10 inches in length.

Mean length-at-age for walleye in 2020 tracked closely to the six-year average (Table 5). Mean lengths-at-age were higher when compared to the drought years (2006-2007) which were characterized by low reservoir elevations, low relative abundance of forage items, and low relative weights for all size groups of walleye (Headley 2012). A large group of age-2 and age-3 walleye were documented which comprised 32% of all walleye aged in 2020. The 2011-year class (9-year old fish) comprised 16% of all walleye sampled in 2020. This year class comprised 21% of all walleye aged in 2018. The oldest walleye sampled was aged at 23.

Overall, relative weights of walleye in 2020 increased compared to the previous year (Table 6). The most notable increase in relative weights were for preferred and memorable length groups (Figure 10). Relative weights for all length groups of walleyes captured in 2020 were higher than the drought/low water years of 2005-2008. The stable relative weights of preferred and memorable+ length groups of walleye can be attributed to an abundance of young-of-year and adult cisco observed in 2020. Cisco have been found to be an important prey item for walleye greater than 18 inches in Fort Peck Reservoir (Mullins 1991).

Since 1992, walleye PSD would have fallen into the favorable category, with the exception of 1995 and 1996. The favorable trend resumed in 1998 and continued into 2015 with a value of 59 (Table 6). However, PSD of walleye in 2016 was 72 making it the highest on record and PSD-P was 34 indicating a greater abundance of preferred size walleye. A ratio between 10 and 20 is considered desirable as a PSD-P for a balanced population. High values of PSD-P indicate an abundance of larger fish with a small stock size available. PSD and PSD-P in 2020 increased to 67 and 43, respectively. This would suggest fewer stock length fish in the population.

Table 3. Mean CPUE (No./net-night), mean length (in), and mean weight (lb) of fish collected by experimental gill nets in Fort Peck Reservoir during July-August, 2020. *N* is total number collected for length and weight measurements.

			Length		Weight	
Species	Number	CPUE	Inches	*N*	Pounds	*N*
Black crappie	18	0.2	9.5	18	0.5	18
Channel catfish	158	1.6	19.9	158	3.1	158
Chinook salmon	1	<0.1	8.5	1	0.3	1
Cisco	7	0.1	6.9	7	0.2	7
Common carp	200	2.0	21.6	200	5.0	200
Freshwater drum	48	0.5	15.8	48	1.9	48
Goldeye	109	1.1	12.8	107	0.8	107
Northern pike	206	2.1	25.2	206	4.3	206
River carpsucker	179	1.8	19.9	179	4.5	179
Sauger	6	0.1	16.1	6	1.6	6
Shorthead redhorse	137	1.4	14.0	137	1.3	137
Smallmouth bass	164	1.6	13.4	164	1.5	164
Smallmouth buffalo	175	1.8	23.7	175	8.3	175
Walleye	323	3.2	17.7	323	2.9	323
White sucker	15	0.2	16.4	15	2.0	15
Yellow perch	54	0.5	6.2	54	0.1	54

Table 4. Number (*N*) and mean catch per unit effort (CPUE; No./net-night) of fish species collected by experimental gill nets in Fort Peck Reservoir during July-August, 2020.

	UBD[1]		LBD[2]		LMA[3]		MMA[4]		UMA[5]		Total	
Species	N	CPUE	N	CPUE	N	CPUE	N	CPUE	N	CPUE	N	CPUE
Black crappie	3	0.2	0	--	0	--	0	--	15	0.8	18	0.2
Channel catfish	41	2.1	22	1.1	5	0.3	28	1.4	62	3.1	158	1.6
Chinook salmon	0	--	0	--	1	<0.1	0	--	0	--	1	<0.1
Cisco	0	--	2	0.1	0	--	4	0.2	1	<0.1	7	0.1
Common carp	26	1.3	29	1.5	42	2.1	51	2.6	52	2.6	200	2.0
Freshwater drum	10	0.5	3	0.2	11	0.6	9	0.5	15	0.8	48	0.5
Goldeye	25	1.3	9	0.5	25	1.3	17	0.9	33	1.7	109	1.1
Northern pike	60	3.0	47	2.4	29	1.5	27	1.4	43	2.2	206	2.1
River carpsucker	71	3.6	19	1.0	4	0.2	31	1.6	54	2.7	179	1.8
Sauger	0	--	0	--	1	<0.1	1	<0.1	4	0.2	6	0.1
Shorthead redhorse	44	2.2	9	0.5	0	--	13	0.7	71	3.6	137	1.4
Smallmouth bass	26	1.3	38	1.9	26	1.3	38	1.9	36	1.8	164	1.6
Smallmouth buffalo	52	2.6	40	2.0	22	1.1	22	1.1	39	2.0	175	1.8
Walleye	43	2.2	72	3.6	77	3.9	71	3.6	60	3.0	323	3.2
White sucker	3	0.2	5	0.3	5	0.3	1	<0.1	1	<0.1	15	0.2
Yellow perch	15	0.8	2	0.1	11	0.6	13	0.7	13	0.7	54	0.5
Total	419	21.0	297	14.9	259	13.0	326	16.3	499	25.0	1,800	18.0

[1]Upper Big Dry (UBD): Nelson Creek., Lone Tree Creek, McGuire Creek, Bug Creek, Lost Creek
[2]Lower Big Dry (LBD): Box Creek, South Fork Rock Creek, North Fork Rock Creek, Box Elder Creek, Sand Arroyo, Spring Creek
[3]Lower Missouri Arm (LMA): Spillway Bay, Bear Creek, North Fork Duck Creek, South Fork Duck Creek, Main Duck Creek
[4]Middle Missouri Arm (MMA): Pines Bay, Gilbert Creek, Cattle/Crooked Creek, Hell Creek, Sutherland Creek, Snow Creek
[5]Upper Missouri Arm (UMA): Cabin Coulee, Wagon Coulee, Bone Trail, Timber Creek, Seven Blackfoot, Fourchette Bay, Devils Creek



Figure 8. Catch per unit effort (CPUE) of PSD category of walleye collected by experimental gill nets throughout Fort Peck Reservoir during July-August, 1992-2020 (no data for 1997).



Figure 9. Length frequency, as catch per unit effort, of walleye collected by experimental gill nets in Fort Peck Reservoir during July-August, 2017-2020.



	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Stock 10"-15"	84.0	86.0	89.0	88.0	81.0	81.0	78.0	77.0	85.0	87.0	83.0	85.0	80.0	85.0	77.0	79.0	82.0	82.4	86.0	83.0	80.0	80.6	81.4	79.5	79.0	84.3	80.5	79.4
Quality 15"-20"	86.0	89.0	85.0	93.0	93.0	83.0	84.0	82.0	84.0	89.0	84.0	86.0	80.0	79.0	76.0	76.0	81.0	86.1	87.0	83.1	79.3	82.7	88.6	86.8	86.0	87.5	83.6	85.0
Preferred 20"-25"	98.0	96.0	96.0	97.0	97.0	96.0	93.0	91.0	96.0	96.0	96.0	94.0	98.0	88.0	87.0	86.0	82.0	84.1	89.0	86.0	89.1	89.6	92.5	93.2	90.4	93.4	93.3	95.1
Memorable >25"	99.0	99.0	92.0	96.0	91.0	89.0	90.0	91.0	92.0	91.0	95.0	92.0	91.0	93.0	91.0	89.0	88.0	88.2	93.0	85.9	86.6	88.4	96.5	92.1	85.2	92.4	90.7	95.3

Figure 10. Relative weights for stock, quality, preferred, and memorable length groups of walleye collected by experimental gill nets in Fort Peck Reservoir, 1989-2020 (no data for 1990-1991 and 1997).

Table 5. Mean length-at-age at time of capture (in) for walleye collected in experimental gill nets, 2015-2020, on Fort Peck Reservoir, and aged from sectioned otoliths.

Year		Length at age at capture (in)													
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
2015	Mean	7.8	9.5	13.0	14.2	16.8	18.7	20.9	23.7	22.3	24.1	27.0	25.8	21.4	21.0
	N	2	26	27	184	55	27	45	14	9	11	1	11	3	3
	SE	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.9	1.8	1.2	--	0.8	3.7	1.4
	Range	7.8-7.9	7.3-12.5	9.4-17.0	9.8-19.8	12.7-22.1	13.4-23.5	15.4-26.2	15.2-27.4	11.6-27.2	17.0-29.4	--	21.3-29.1	17.4-28.8	18.3-22.8
2016	Mean	--	9.5	12.1	15.4	16.8	19.0	21.5	23.0	24.1	19.6	24.0	24.9	23.8	18.2
	N	--	18	58	32	141	55	15	45	17	2	15	4	5	1
	SE	--	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.7	1.6	1.1	--
	Range	--	7.6-12.5	8.3-16.1	10.1-19.8	10.5-23.3	14.0-24.3	18.5-26.8	18.1-27.4	16.9-27.6	19.0-20.2	18.9-27.7	20.9-27.6	21.2-27.8	--
2017	Mean	7.4	9.1	10.6	13.0	16.6	18.4	19.8	23.5	23.6	23.5	23.3	28.3	--	23.4
	N	2	16	33	49	22	103	22	11	20	3	4	1	--	1
	SE	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.3	0.8	0.6	0.5	1.6	1.8	--	--	--
	Range	7.1-7.7	7.6-10.6	7.7-13.1	9.6-18.0	10.4-22.2	11.8-24.4	10.9-24.6	20.8-27.8	18.1-26.6	21.9-26.7	19.8-28.3	--	--	--
2018	Mean	8.4	9.8	11.7	13.6	14.6	17.2	18.9	21.8	23.4	24.3	25.9	25.6	26.9	23.1
	N	20	16	38	58	74	34	89	36	13	29	6	5	9	1
	SE	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.5	1.2	1.3	0.6	--
	Range	7.0-9.6	7.4-12.0	8.6-13.9	10.6-17.1	10.9-22.9	12.0-25.3	11.5-25.3	16.2-26.0	17.5-27.0	18.7-28.0	22.3-29.2	21.3-27.7	23.6-28.5	--
2019	Mean	8.3	11.4	13.1	13.8	15.3	16.4	18.7	20.3	22.2	24.0	26.0	27.9	27.6	28.0
	N	27	84	46	47	76	25	28	60	22	12	19	6	10	7
	SE	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.8	1.0
	Range	7.0-10.2	8.1-13.7	10.2-16.7	11.9-17.0	8.3-21.2	12.3-24.8	13.0-25.4	13.4-27.6	14.8-25.9	20.4-28.5	20.2-28.4	27.2-29.1	24.0-30.1	23.3-30.7
2020	Mean	8.1	9.4	12.4	14.6	16.6	17.0	19.1	20.8	22.9	24.1	24.3	26.8	24.5	28.1
	N	1	55	40	30	24	24	19	10	46	15	3	16	3	4
	SE	--	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.7	1.4	0.5	1.9	1.4
	Range	--	7.3-12.0	8.1-14.6	11.2-19.1	12.0-20.4	12.7-23.1	12.8-22.5	17.1-25.1	17.5-28.0	18.4-27.3	22.4-27.2	22.3-29.0	22.0-28.2	24.1-30.2
Mean of means		8.0	9.8	12.2	14.1	16.1	17.8	19.8	22.2	23.1	23.3	25.1	26.6	24.8	23.6

Northern Pike

Relative abundance of northern pike captured in gill nets was 2.1 per net in 20 which decreased from the previous year (Table 3; Figure 11). The three-year running average goal of 2.0 northern pike per net was met (2.2 per net in 2020) as outlined in the FPRFMP. Average length and weight of northern pike in 2020 was 25.2 inches and 4.3 pounds which was slightly higher than the previous year (Table 7). This was due to smaller-sized individuals growing and recruiting into the population as a result of limited natural reproduction. Northern pike less than 25 inches comprised 50% of the fish sampled in 2020 (Figure 12). In contrast, 80% of the northern pike captured in gill nets were greater than 25 inches during the low water years of 2005-2006 (Headley 2007).

In 2020, northern pike PSD was 85 and PSD-P was 25. During the drought years, PSD ranged from 93 to 98 and PSD-P ranged from 55-71 indicating a population comprised of larger fish. With stable to increasing water levels from 2017 to 2019, inundation of terrestrial vegetation became more prevalent throughout the reservoir which increased the amount of ideal spawning/rearing habitat. Relative abundance of shoreline forage also increased during that time and provided increased food items for juvenile northern pike. As a result, relative abundance of stock and quality length groups of northern pike has increased over the last two years. Relative weight of northern pike was 96 in 2020 which was similar to the previous year.

Channel Catfish

Relative abundance of channel catfish captured by gill netting was 1.6 per net in 2020. This was a slight increase compared to the previous year but below the 28-year average of 2.0 per net (Figure 13). Similar to previous years, highest abundance was observed in the Upper Missouri Arm at 3.1 per net (Table 4). In 2020, mean length and weight was 19.9 inches and 3.1 pounds, respectively. This was slightly higher than the long-term average of 16.9 inches and 1.9 pounds (Table 8). Relative weights of channel catfish decreased from 88 in 2019 to 85 in 2020. Catfish PSD and PSD-P were 75 and 19, respectively, indicating a population comprised of good numbers of larger fish.

Sauger

Sauger numbers have declined in Fort Peck Reservoir since 1985 and remained low since then (Figure 13). This decline has occurred in spite of restrictive angling regulations (i.e., 1 sauger daily and 2 in possession) implemented in 2002. However, fishing regulations changed in 2016 allowing anglers to keep 2 sauger daily and 4 in possession within the walleye/sauger combination of 5 daily and 10 in possession. Relative abundance in 2019 was less than 0.1 per net. Average size of sauger in 2019 was 16.1 inches and 1.6 pounds with a relative weight of 72. This population relies on natural reproduction from the Missouri River where more suitable spawning habitat is available (Bellgraph et al. 2008). Relative abundance for sauger was highest in the upper Missouri arm with a catch rate of 0.2 per net (Table 4).

Table 6. Summary of mean catch per unit of effort (CPUE; No./net-night), standard error (SE), mean length (in), mean weight (lb), mean *Wr*, and stock density indices of walleye collected in experimental gill nets on Fort Peck Reservoir, 1996-2020 (no data for 1997).

Year	No. walleye	CPUE	SE	Length	Weight	*Wr*	Substock[1]	Stock[2]	Quality[3]	Preferred[4]	PSD[5]	PSD-P[6]
1996	361	4.4	0.4	16.5	2.1	89	31	327	228	75	70	23
1998	418	5.6	0.4	14.8	1.6	86	79	339	159	89	47	26
1999	329	4.2	0.3	14.4	1.5	90	63	266	108	67	41	25
2000	250	2.8	0.2	16.6	2.3	83	26	224	122	84	54	38
2001	272	3.9	0.4	17.4	2.8	88	19	253	134	112	53	44
2002	324	3.3	0.2	17.4	2.8	90	32	291	159	124	55	43
2003	301	3.1	0.3	17.3	2.8	88	38	263	156	105	59	40
2004	250	3.3	0.3	15.9	2.3	88	47	203	102	73	50	36
2005	227	2.7	0.3	16.3	2.6	85	37	190	88	78	46	41
2006	207	2.4	0.2	16.2	2.6	87	38	168	78	66	46	39
2007	261	3.1	0.3	16.2	2.3	81	36	225	100	70	44	31
2008	234	2.8	0.3	15.5	1.9	81	21	212	89	45	42	21
2009	393	3.3	0.3	14.6	1.4	83	59	332	143	53	43	16
2010	361	3.6	0.3	15.4	1.7	84	31	330	175	46	53	13
2011	267	2.8	0.3	14.9	1.7	88	25	251	99	45	39	18
2012	683	6.8	0.4	15.1	1.4	83	44	639	305	75	47	12
2013	479	4.8	0.4	15.0	1.5	81	66	413	245	55	59	13
2014	466	4.7	0.3	15.5	1.7	84	28	436	199	98	46	22
2015	486	4.9	0.4	16.6	2.1	87	22	464	276	111	59	24
2016	440	4.4	0.3	17.8	2.5	87	15	424	308	147	72	34
2017	310	3.1	0.3	17.0	2.2	85	23	287	178	114	62	40
2018	471	4.7	0.3	17.4	2.5	88	28	441	263	167	60	38
2019	494	4.9	0.4	16.7	2.3	85	30	464	246	137	53	29
2020	323	3.2	0.2	17.7	2.9	87	37	285	190	123	67	43

[1]Substock is the number of all walleye less than 10 inches, [2]Stock is the number of all walleye greater than 10 inches, [3]Quality is the number of all walleye greater than 15 inches, [4]Prefered is the number of all walleye greater than 20 inches, [5]PSD is the proportional size distribution (Quality/Stock), [6]PSD-P is the relative stock density, preferred (Preferred/Stock).

Table 7. Summary of mean catch per unit of effort (CPUE; No./net-night), mean length (in), mean weight (lb), and mean *Wr* of northern pike collected in experimental gill nets on Fort Peck Reservoir during July-August, 1992-2020 (no data for 1997).

Year	*N*	CPUE	Length	Weight	*Wr*
1992	35	0.6	26.6	5.5	112.3
1993	47	0.6	28.3	6.4	113.9
1994	104	1.4	22.6	4.4	107.3
1995	295	3.8	20.1	2.5	114.6
1996	321	3.9	23.3	3.7	112.8
1998	231	3.1	24.7	4.3	104.6
1999	151	1.9	26.5	5.1	103.2
2000	134	1.5	28	6	106.5
2001	73	1	28.6	6.5	110.6
2002	144	1.5	29.5	7.2	102
2003	126	1.3	28.1	6.2	101.1
2004	75	1	29.1	6.7	100.1
2005	86	1	28.4	6.5	100.3
2006	108	1.3	26.1	5.2	98.9
2007	147	1.7	24.8	4.6	101
2008	137	1.6	26.6	5.2	100
2009	176	1.5	24.5	4.3	93.1
2010	191	1.9	23.4	3.9	100
2011	293	2.9	23.2	3.6	100
2012	503	5.0	23.6	3.6	99.3
2013	324	3.2	24.6	3.9	93.0
2014	336	3.4	25.8	4.6	96.2
2015	264	2.6	26.3	5.0	97.5
2016	226	2.3	25.8	4.6	92.9
2017	184	1.8	26.0	4.4	90.2
2018	165	1.7	27.1	5.0	95.0
2019	302	3.0	24.7	4.0	96.1
2020	206	2.1	25.2	4.3	96.5



Figure 11. Catch per unit effort (CPUE) of PSD category of northern pike collected by experimental gill nets throughout Fort Peck Reservoir during, July-August, 1992-2020, (no data for 1997).



Figure 12. Length frequency, as catch per unit effort (CPUE), of northern pike collected by experimental gill nets in Fort Peck Reservoir during July-August, 2017-2020.



	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Catfish	1.9	0.7	1.2	1.2	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.4	3.2	1.5	1.3	3	3.5	2.5	3.3	3.4	2.6	1.0	2.4	2.7	2.4	2.5	2.0	2.2	1.4	1.8	1.1	1.6
Sauger	1.3	1.2	0.8	0.07	0.7	0.6	1.1	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.8	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.13	0.06

Figure 13. Mean catch per unit of effort (CPUE; No./net-night) of channel catfish and sauger collected by experimental gill nets in Fort Peck Reservoir, 1992-2020 (no data for 1997).

Table 8. Summary of mean catch per unit of effort (CPUE; No./net-night), mean length (in) and mean weight (lb) of channel catfish collected in experimental gill nets on Fort Peck Reservoir, 1992-2020 (no data for 1997).

Year	*N*	CPUE	Length	Weight
1992	165	2.6	15	1.4
1993	68	0.9	14.9	1.4
1994	119	1.6	14.4	1.1
1995	123	1.6	16.3	1.6
1996	93	1.1	15.6	1.4
1998	91	1.2	18	2.3
1999	88	1.1	17.2	2.0
2000	122	1.4	17.5	2.0
2001	222	3.2	17.6	2.1
2002	145	1.5	18	2.1
2003	129	1.3	17.6	2.1
2004	227	3.0	15.7	1.8
2005	297	3.5	14.3	1.3
2006	215	2.5	15.1	1.4
2007	278	3.3	15.3	1.3
2008	289	3.4	14.2	1.1
2009	314	2.6	16.8	1.9
2010	104	1.0	18.4	2.4
2011	241	2.4	17.9	2.3
2012	272	2.7	17.4	1.8
2013	240	2.4	17.5	1.9
2014	246	2.5	18.0	2.0
2015	201	2.0	18.5	2.1
2016	217	2.2	17.1	1.8
2017	140	1.4	18.0	2.0
2018	179	1.8	17.8	2.4
2019	110	1.1	20.3	3.1
2020	158	1.6	19.9	3.1

STOMACH CONTENTS OF GILL NETTED GAME FISH

Stomach contents of walleye, northern pike, sauger, and smallmouth bass captured in experimental gill nets from July 15th to August 6th, 2020 were examined for the presence of forage items. Northern pike had the most diverse diet followed closely by walleye (Table 9). Cisco were the most commonly identified fish found in northern pike and walleye. The high frequency of occurrence of cisco observed in stomach contents can be explained by the high abundance of young-of-year and adult cisco observed in 2020 (Table 14). Similar to previous years, empty stomach contents comprised a large portion of the walleye, northern pike, sauger, and smallmouth bass stomachs, which is attributed to purging of the stomach during stress (Bowen 1996).

Table 9. Percent frequency of occurrence for various forage items found in stomach contents of northern pike, sauger, smallmouth bass, and walleye collected in experimental gill nets in Fort Peck Reservoir 2020. Sample size is given in parentheses.

Forage items	Northern pike (*N*=195)	Sauger (*N*=6)	Smallmouth bass (*N*=105)	Walleye (*N*=294)
Black bullhead	--	--	--	0.3%
Channel catfish	--	--	1.0%	--
Chinook salmon	0.5%	--	--	0.3%
Cisco	22.1%	--	7.6%	9.9%
Crayfish	3.1%	--	12.4%	--
Creek chub	--	--	--	0.3%
Empty	63.1%	100.0%	28.6%	52.0%
Grasshopper	--	--	24.8%	--
Invertebrates	0.5%	--	6.7%	13.9%
Pomoxis spp.	--	--	1.0%	--
Smallmouth bass	0.5%	--	--	--
Spottail shiner	1.0%	--	--	0.7%
Unknown	6.7%	--	16.2%	20.7%
Walleye	0.5%	--	--	--
Yellow perch	2.1%	--	1.0%	1.4%
Zooplankton	--	--	1.0%	0.3%

BEACH SEINING

Shoreline beach seining was conducted to determine reproductive success of age-0 game and non-game fish from August 4th to September 3rd, 2020. Seine hauls at 100 standardized locations throughout the reservoir captured 18 species of young-of-year and forage fish for a total of 9,359 fish (Table 10). Combined relative abundance of spottail shiner, emerald shiner, age-0 yellow perch, and age-0 crappie increased to 86 fish per seine haul and was below the long-term average of 168 fish per seine haul. Relative abundance of shoreline forage typically follows changes in reservoir elevations (Figure 15). In 2020, reservoir elevations increased 5.9 feet from March to July due to average mountain snowpack (Figure 14). Little to no terrestrial vegetation was inundated beginning in spring and early summer of 2020.

Eurasian watermilfoil (EWM) was first discovered in Fort Peck Reservoir by Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks and the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers in 2010. Since then, it has become established throughout the reservoir. EWM was documented at 81% of the seining sites in 2019 and 57% of the sites in 2020. The decrease of EWM could be attributed to declining reservoir elevations from 2019-2020 (Figure 2). Prior to this, reservoir elevations fluctuated greatly. Reservoir elevations during 2012-2013 experienced a loss of 15 feet resulting in EWM present at 46% of the seining sites. Furthermore, a gain of 10 feet was observed in 2013-2014 and only 24% of the seining sites contained EWM. It appears large fluctuations in reservoir elevation from year to year make it difficult for EWM to become established in littoral areas of the reservoir.

It is uncertain what impacts EWM have to the fishery on Fort Peck Reservoir. Some studies have suggested slow growth and poor size structure for some fish species (Unmuth et al. 1999). In contrast, EWM has proved beneficial to fisheries if it occurs in lakes that typically do not support much growth of native submersed species (Engel 1995). Similarly, Pratt and Smokorowski (2003) found more fish and invertebrates in areas with EWM than areas devoid of any submerged aquatic vegetation. Due to Fort Peck Reservoir's fluctuating reservoir elevation, lack of native submerged aquatic vegetation, and complex basin characteristics, it is possible that EWM may provide spawning and rearing habitat for some forage and/or game fish species.



Figure 14. Average daily reservoir elevation for Fort Peck Reservoir from January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020 (data provided by USACE).

Table 10. Number (*N*) and mean catch per unit effort (CPUE; No./haul) for fish species collected by seine hauls in Fort Peck Reservoir during August-September 2020. Catches are for young-of-year fishes except where noted.

	UBD[1]		LBD[2]		LMA[3]		MMA[4]		UMA[5]		Total	
Species	*N*	CPUE	*N*	CPUE	*N*	CPUE	*N*	CPUE	*N*	CPUE	*N*	CPUE
Common carp	6	0.3	20	1.0	15	0.8	60	3.0	2	0.1	103	1.0
Emerald shiner*	2	0.1	33	1.7	22	1.1	23	1.2	29	1.5	109	1.1
Fathead minnow	0	--	0	--	0	--	1	<0.1	0	--	1	<0.1
Freshwater drum	4	0.2	0	0.0	7	0.4	0	0.0	0	--	11	0.1
Goldeye	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	1	<0.1	1	<0.1
*Hybognathus spp.**	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	7	0.4	7	0.1
Lake chub	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	11	0.6	11	0.1
Northern pike	3	0.2	12	0.6	13	0.7	7	0.4	0	--	35	0.4
Pomoxis spp.	36	1.8	12	0.6	64	3.2	726	36.3	3,291	164.6	4,129	41.3
River carpsucker	0	0.0	1	0.1	0	--	0	--	0	--	1	<0.0
Sauger	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	0.0	2	0.1	2	<0.1
Shorthead redhorse	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	0.0	1	<0.1	1	<0.1
Smallmouth bass	131	6.6	116	5.8	45	2.3	133	6.7	52	2.6	477	4.8
Smallmouth buffalo	1	<0.1		--	1	<0.1	4	0.2	13	0.7	19	0.2
Spottail shiner*	98	4.9	81	4.1	261	13.1	857	42.9	1,241	62.1	2,538	25.4
Walleye	0	--	5	0.3	4	0.2	4	0.2	4	0.2	17	0.2
White sucker	1	<0.1	1	<0.1	1	<0.1	0	--	0	--	3	<0.1
Yellow perch	333	16.7	153	7.7	225	11.3	1,020	51.0	163	8.2	1,894	18.9
Total	615	30.8	434	21.7	658	32.9	2,835	141.8	4,817	240.9	9,359	93.6

*Includes all ages.
[1]Upper Big Dry (UBD): Nelson Cr., Lone Tree Cr., McGuire Cr., Bug Cr., Lost Cr.
[2]Lower Big Dry (LBD): Box Cr., S. Fork Rock Cr., N. Fork Rock Cr., Box Elder Cr., Sand Arroyo, Spring Cr.
[3]Lower Missouri Arm (LMA): Spillway Bay, Bear Cr., N.Fork Duck Cr., S. Fork Duck Cr., Main Duck
[4]Middle Missouri Arm (MMA): Pines, Gilbert Cr., Cattle Crooked Cr., Hell Cr., Sutherland Cr., Snow Cr.
[5]Upper Missouri Arm (UMA): Bone Trail, Timber Cr., Seven Blackfoot, Fourchette Bay, Devils Cr.

Yellow Perch

Young-of-year yellow perch relative abundance in 2020 was 18.9 per seine which was a slight decrease from 22.9 per seine in 2019 (Figure15). A small rise in reservoir elevation of 5.98 feet inundated very little shoreline vegetation in 2020. In contrast, increases in reservoir elevation rose approximately 12.5 feet from March into July of 2019 provided a greater amount of spawning and rearing habitat as terrestrial vegetation was inundated. Nelson and Walburg (1977) determined newly flooded vegetation was the most important factor affecting year-class strength of yellow perch in two large Missouri River reservoir systems. Relative abundance of young-of-year yellow perch in 2020 was still lower when compared to the high-water years (i.e., 2009-2012; Figure 15). Yellow perch were most abundant in the middle Missouri arm with a catch rate of 51.0 per seine haul in 2020 (Table 10).

Crappie

Young-of-year crappie relative abundance decreased greatly from 101.1 per seine haul in 2019 to 41.3 per seine haul in 2020. Unlike young-of-year yellow perch, relative abundance of young-of-year crappie remains higher than during the drought years (Figure 15). Similar to previous years, crappie were most abundant in the upper Missouri arm with a catch rate of 164.6 per seine haul which comprised 80% of the fish sampled in 2019 (Table 10). Typically, the upper Missouri arm contains a majority of the young-of-year crappie captured due to more suitable spawning and rearing habitat (i.e., submerged brush and aquatic macrophytes).

Emerald Shiner

Emerald shiner relative abundance in 2020 was 1.1 per seine haul, which was a decrease from 23.1 per seine haul in 2019. However, relative abundance of emerald shiners have been low over the last several years making them similar to the mid to late 1990's when reservoir elevations were relatively high or increasing (Figure 15). A possible explanation for these decreases could be upstream movement into more riverine type habitat. In 2020, distribution of emerald shiner relative abundance was low and similar throughout all sampling regions (Table 10).

Spottail Shiner

Relative abundance of spottail shiners decreased greatly from 97.1 per seine haul in 2019 to 25.4 per seine haul in 2020 which was slightly lower than long-term average of 75.1 per seine haul. Relative abundance typically increases during rising reservoir elevations in late spring/early summer (Figure 15). Spottail shiner relative abundance was highest in the upper Missouri arm at 62.1 per seine haul (Table 10). Typically, relative abundance is higher in main lake portions (i.e., lower Big Dry arm, lower Missouri arm, middle Missouri arm) of the reservoir.



	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Perch	1.9	10.9	10	1.3	47.9	27.9	35.1	66.5	14.1	4.4	11.4	5.3	4.3	5	4.9	5.2	8.4	22.6	8.01	57.2	63.3	91.8	84.5	29.6	16.7	10.5	9.85	18.9	29.2	22.9	18.9
Crappie	0.7	4.1	0.4	7.6	62.6	112	19.3	54	46.7	0.2	11.9	8.2	6.7	2.5	10.6	5.2	3.1	31.6	102	56.9	144.	67.3	43.7	27.4	52	39.6	43.2	28	84.4	101	41.3
Spottails	22	39.2	21.4	60.7	114	535	134	228	34.4	20	32.6	19.1	17.7	15.2	102	58.8	27.4	61.1	70.8	28.2	184.	65.7	30.2	44.7	54.9	24.8	7.02	87.7	64.3	97.1	25.4
Emeralds	8.6	38.7	29.9	16.8	48.4	7.3	6.5	10.4	8.8	25.5	158	67.9	9.6	94.8	24.6	50.5	37.6	101	27.1	32.4	2.2	10.3	15.6	4.1	1.9	5.6	3.01	27.2	16.1	23.1	1.09
Elevation	2216	2220	2213	2220	2238	2243	2246	2250	2240	2238	2233	2223	2220	2215	2207	2204	2206	2203	2210	2220	2235	2253	2238	2227	2234	2237	2236	2240	2248	2247	2241

Year

Figure 15. Maximum annual reservoir elevation compared to mean catch per unit effort (CPUE; No./haul) of emerald, spottail, young-of-year yellow perch, and young-of-year crappie collected by seine hauls in Fort Peck Reservoir from 1990-2020.

Chinook salmon

A total of 146,294 spring-stocked chinook salmon were released into Fort Peck Reservoir during in early June of 2020 at 22-26 per pound. This fell short of the management goal of 200,000 fingerlings as outlined in the Fort Peck Reservoir Fisheries Management Plan (Headley et al. 2012). Compared to previous years, this was fewer salmon released since the program began but at a larger size (Table 11; Figure 16). In the past, Montana has typically stocked fewer fingerlings and less total pounds than North and South Dakota. However, Montana has increased stocking numbers and/or size in efforts to create a more stable fishery and more fish for spawning beginning in 2000 (Figure 16 and 17). North and South Dakota Game and Fish have used this strategy and been successful in developing a return run from larger spring-stocked chinook salmon (Lott et al. 1997).

Return of salmon to the release site has been variable over the years. In 2020, the number of females spawned and eggs collected was similar to the previous year (Figure 18). The 2020 egg-take effort for Montana resulted in 425,589 green eggs from 97 females. Fecundity of female salmon was 4,388 in 2020 which was higher than 3,963 eggs per female in 2018. The high fecundity can be attributed to a larger, older age group (age-4) captured in 2020. Egg size was also larger in 2020 when compared to previous years (Wade Geraets, personal communication).

Fisheries personnel relied exclusively on electrofishing to obtain brood stock for the annual chinook salmon egg-take in 2020. This has proven to be a more cost effective and efficient manner due to limited time and manpower issues as opposed to the fish ladder. Electrofishing was conducted from October 5th to October 25th, 2020 in various embayments adjacent to the marina, spillway, Duck Creek and dam area.

Biological data was collected from adult chinook salmon during spawning to provide more information on age, growth, and stocking-and-rearing history. In 2019, 100% of all males captured were 3-year old suggesting a strong age class present (Table 12). Females spawned in 2019 were largely comprised of a combination of 3-year old fish (97%). The high number of 3-year old salmon (2016 brood year) observed and captured in 2019 continued into the 2020 fishery. Age-4 male salmon comprised only 40% of males captured; however, age-4 females comprised 94% of all females collected during 2020 collection efforts. Earlier maturity was observed for age-3 females in 2019 and age-2 males in 2018 could be attributed to improved growing conditions (i.e., increases in cisco abundance) which would allow more energy to be allocated to gonad production instead of somatic growth. Lott et al. (1997) noted a similar trend with chinook salmon age classes in Lake Oahe, SD when rainbow smelt populations, which are the primary forage, were at peak abundances.

Mean weights at age varied for male and female chinook salmon captured and spawned in 2020. When examining mean weight at each age, age-4 male and female salmon collected in 2020 were larger than those collected in 2019 (Table 12; Table 13). However, age-4 salmon were largely absent in 2019. Three-year old females averaged 14.5 pounds in 2019 compared to 9.1 pounds in 2020. In addition, age-3 male and female salmon captured in 2019 were higher compared to previous years (Headley 2017). The higher relative abundance of young-of-year and adult cisco observed in 2020 likely contributed to increased weights at age-4 for both males and female salmon. Cisco have been found to be the primary forage item of age 1+ chinook salmon in Fort Peck Reservoir (Brunsing 1998; Headley 2010).

Table 11. Chinook salmon stocked by number, size, and location in Fort Peck Reservoir, 2017-2020.

Date	Number	Pounds Stocked	No./lb	Mark	Location
5/23/2017	41,916	1,062	38.9	None	Duck Creek
5/23/2017	29,732	806	38.1	None	Marina Bay
5/23/2017	38,989	1,037	38.9	None	Milk Coulee Bay
5/30/2017	25,111	728	34.5	None	Duck Creek
5/30/2017	20,663	599	34.5	None	Marina Bay
5/30/2017	7,015	203	34.5	None	Milk Coulee Bay
5/31/2017	50,412	1,387	36.6	None	Duck Creek
5/31/2017	12,980	352	36.7	None	Marina Bay
5/31/2017	23,011	607	37.9	None	Milk Coulee Bay
5/31/2017	19,384	715	27.1	Adipose Clip	Marina Bay
6/1/2017	11,703	297	39.3	None	Duck Creek
6/1/2017	21,795	571	38.2	None	Marina Bay
6/1/2017	23,295	601	38.7	None	Milk Coulee Bay
6/1/2017	19,380	750	25.8	Adipose Clip	Marina Bay
5/25/2018	57,925	1,881	30.8	Adipose Clip	Duck Creek
6/5/2018	65,815	1,489	44.2	None	Pines Bay
6/6/2018	34,386	770	44.7	None	Pines Bay
6/6/2018	37,814	847	44.7	None	Rock Creek
6/7/2018	31,296	720	43.4	None	Rock Creek
6/8/2018	31,222	757	41.3	None	Rock Creek
6/8/2018	42,298	1,025	41.3	None	Duck Creek
6/11/2018	14,265	317	45	None	Pines Bay
6/11/2018	14,911	332	45	None	Rock Creek
6/11/2018	21,063	468	45	None	Duck Creek
6/12/2018	28,659	552	52	None	Pines Bay
5/30/2019	45,750	1,536	29.8	OTC	Duck Creek
5/30/2019	25,450	854	29.8	OTC	Marina
5/30/2019	25,455	854	29.8	OTC	Spillway
5/31/2019	7,147	162	44.2	None	Duck Creek
5/31/2019	29,547	669	44.2	None	Marina
6/3/2019	73,301	1,581	46.4	None	Duck Creek
6/3/2019	52,667	1,136	46.4	None	Marina
6/4/2019	27,388	605	45.3	None	Duck Creek
6/4/2019	33,004	729	45.3	None	Marina
6/11/2019	82,524	1,685	49	None	Pines
6/11/2019	41,490	847	49	None	Rock Creek
6/12/2019	24,324	454	53.6	None	Pines
6/12/2019	65,820	1,228	53.6	None	Rock Creek
6/1/2020	34,833	1,326	22.8	None	Marina
6/1/2020	33,606	1,668	22.8	None	Duck Creek
6/2/2020	18,567	414	27.6	None	Duck Creek
6/2/2020	43,204	1,615	26.9	None	Flat Lake
6/2/2020	16,084	616	26.1	None	Marina



Figure 16. Annual comparison of total chinook salmon numbers stocked in Oahe, Sakakawea, and Fort Peck Reservoir, 1983-2020.



Figure 17. Annual comparison of total chinook salmon pounds stocked in Oahe, Sakakawea, and Fort Peck Reservoir, 1983-2020.



Figure 18. Annual comparison of female chinook salmon spawned and eggs collected from Fort Peck Reservoir, 1994-2020.

Table 12. Age composition, length and weight of 318 chinook salmon collected by electrofishing, fall 2019.

Age	Sex	Brood year	Number	Mean length (in)	Range	Mean weight (lb)	Range
1	Male	2018	0	--	--	--	--
	Female		0	--	--	--	--
2	Male	2017	0	--	--	--	--
	Female		0	--	--	--	--
3	Male	2016	115	31.8	26.9-35.8	14.2	7.2-19.9
	Female		98	31.2	25.9-35.1	14.5	8.4-22.0
4	Male	2015	0	--	--	--	--
	Female		3	31.5	30.9-32.3	12.8	10.6-14.7
5	Male	2014	0	--	--	--	--
	Female		0	--	--	--	--

Table 13. Age composition, length and weight of 155 chinook salmon collected by electrofishing, fall 2020.

Age	Sex	Brood year	Number	Mean length (in)	Range	Mean weight (lb)	Range
1	Male	2019	0	--	--	--	--
	Female		0	--	--	--	--
2	Male	2018	2	16.0	15.7-16.2	2.2	1.9-2.5
	Female		0	--	--	--	--
3	Male	2017	43	27.3	21.3-35.6	9.5	3.8-18.0
	Female		4	29.9	26.3-32.1	9.1	8.4-22.0
4	Male	2016	31	35.2	31.1-40.9	17.0	7.9-27.1
	Female		101	34.7	29.9-39.4	19.2	10.9-28.0
5	Male	2015	1	35.2	--	17.9	--
	Female		2	34.7	33.7-35.8	21.8	20.8-22.7

Cisco Vertical Gill Netting

Young-of-year cisco

Relative abundance of young-of-year cisco in Fort Peck Reservoir increased to 172.8 per net-night in 2020 This was up from 0 per net-night in 2019 and above the long-term average of 75 per net-night from 1990 to 2019. Young-of-year cisco relative abundance has fluctuated over the years on Fort Peck Reservoir and similar trends have been observed in other reservoirs where cisco populations occur (Dave Yerk, personal communication; Figure 19).

Limited ice cover appears to correlate with decreases in young-of-year cisco relative abundance on Fort Peck Reservoir. Duration of ice cover has been shown to reduce the wind and wave action, which decreases sedimentation over incubating eggs, and ultimately reduces mortality (Freeberg et al. 1990; Rook et al. 2013). For example, in 1987 and 1992 the reservoir did not freeze over and resulted in very few young-of-year cisco captured. In contrast, ice cover occurred on December 13th, 1985 and December 21st, 2000 resulting in two of the largest year classes ever produced. Ice cover occurred on January 15th, 2020 and receded on April 20th, 2020 resulting in a strong year class.

Decreases in reservoir elevation could also explain reductions in young-of-year cisco on Fort Peck Reservoir. Decreases in reservoir elevation, which dewater incubating eggs, have been shown to reduce to young-of-year cisco abundance in other reservoir systems (Gaboury and Patalas 1984; Zollweg and Leathe 2006). For example, large decreases in reservoir elevation during 1989, 1996, 2003, and 2007 resulted in low relative abundance of young-of-year cisco (Figure 19). In contrast, when water levels were increasing over winter of 1993-1994 and again in 2008-2009, two of the best year classes of cisco were produced. Reservoir elevations decreased 3.7 feet during the 2019-2020 winter months. Scott and Crossman (1973) indicated cisco spawn in three to 10 feet of water which may in part explain the high relative abundance of young-of-year cisco observed in 2020.

Adult cisco

Larger mesh, vertical gill netting efforts have continued to provide additional information on the adult cisco population in Fort Peck Reservoir. This technique has been used successfully on other water bodies that contain cisco and other pelagic species (Dave Yerk, personal communication). Large year classes of cisco produced in 2013 and 2014, which were observed in the ½-in mesh, recruited to the population as indicated by the increase in relative abundance of cisco captured in the ¾-in mesh from 2015-2016 and a similar trend was observed in 2018 and 2019 (Figure 20). When examining length frequencies from 2017-2020, similar trends exist as age-0 fish ranging from 110 to 130 mm grow and recruit to the population as age-1 fish that range from 170 to 190 mm (Figure 21). However, no young-of-year cisco were captured in 2019 and that trend was apparent in 2020 with the absence of fish in the 150 to 190 mm length groups.

Lengths of cisco captured in Fort Peck Reservoir are currently lower than those observed shortly after their introduction (Wiedenheft 1989; Mullins 1991). Mean length-at-age for cisco captured by vertical gill nets in Fort Peck Reservoir during 2020 suggested slow growth when compared to other cisco populations (Figure 22; Ebener et al. 2008). In addition, relative weight of adult cisco was low but increased slightly from 77 in 2019 to 80 in 2020. The slow growth rates, low relative weights, and high relative abundance would suggest intraspecific competition. Rook et al. (2013) observed similar trends with cisco in Lake Superior and found a negative correlation to post year class survival. Currently, it is uncertain what impacts these large year classes are having on the overall zooplankton density and composition in Fort Peck Reservoir because long-term zooplankton data is unavailable. Large year classes of cisco have been shown to alter the zooplankton community by selecting for the largest zooplankters in the system (Rudstrum et al. 1993).



Figure 19. Change in reservoir elevation from December high to March low in contrast to mean CPUE (No./net-night) of young-of-year cisco collected in vertical gill nets on Fort Peck Reservoir, 1990-2020.



Figure 20. Mean CPUE (No./net-night) of cisco by mesh size collected in vertical gill nets on Fort Peck Reservoir, 2013-2020.



Figure 21. Length frequency of subsampled cisco collected by vertical gill nets in Fort Peck Reservoir during September, 2017-2020.



Figure 22. Mean length-at-age (±SD) of cisco caught in vertical gill nets on Fort Peck Reservoir in September 2020 compared to mean length-at-age of cisco captured in the Apostle Islands area of Lake Superior. Lake Superior data are from Ebener et al. (2008).

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Spring trapping of walleye and northern pike will continue to provide an egg source for supplementing Fort Peck Reservoir and sport fisheries in and out of state.

- Provide walleye eggs to Fort Peck Hatchery staff to develop methods to produce sterile walleye.

- Annual standardized sampling with modified fyke nets, experimental gill nets, vertical gill nets and beach seines will continue to obtain relative abundance data on game and forage fish distribution, abundance, production and condition.

- Evaluate native species (sauger, channel catfish, burbot) more closely by continuing to collect additional length, weight, and age information during routine sampling.

- Reservoir water levels will be monitored to determine impacts to the overall fishery. Information will be utilized to make recommendations to Corps of Engineers for Annual Operating Plan in conjunction with the Missouri River Natural Resource Committee.

- Continue working with South Dakota and North Dakota to develop a stronger tri-state chinook salmon fishery. This may require traveling out of-state to help collect and spawn salmon to receive additional eggs or collection of eggs from Fort Peck to support North and South Dakota needs.

- An evaluation of stocking strategies indicates the size of salmon released is more important than the timing of release. Efforts should be made to increase the numbers of total pounds stocked as opposed to total numbers of fish.

- Continue efforts to spawn Fort Peck salmon when numbers of adults permit. Adults should be captured with the aid of an electrofishing boat due to time and manpower constraints.

- Continue to evaluate the use of deepwater summer gill netting surveys to determine relative abundance and population dynamics of lake trout.

- Continue young-of-year and adult cisco standardized monitoring (vertical gill netting) to further explore the population dynamics of this species. Work to develop age structure and growth information for adult cisco.

- Continue annual public informational meetings and press releases to disseminate information from the previous year's work and to discuss stocking goals and work plans for the coming year.

- Continue transferring or entering historical data to create a full database of all documented work with Fort Peck's fishery while ensuring data is proofed and error checked.

- Continue limnological sampling program for Fort Peck Reservoir and collect water samples for "baseline" information to use in conjunction with walleye otolith microchemistry study. Evaluate chemical marking of hatchery-reared walleye fry for the use of otolith microchemistry.

LITERATURE CITED

Anderson, R. O. and A. S. Weithman. 1978. The concept of balance for coolwater fish populations. Pages 371-378 in R. L. Kendall, editor. Selected coolwater fishes of North America. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Anderson, R. O. 1980. Proportional stock density (PSD) and relative weight (W_r): interpretive indices for fish populations and communities. Pages 27-33 *in* S. Gloss and B. Shupp, editors. Practical fisheries management: more with less in the 1980's. New York Chapter American Fisheries Society, Ithaca.

Becker, G. C. 1983. Fishes of Wisconsin. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison.

Bellgraph, B. J., C. S. Guy, W. M. Gardner, and S. A. Leathe. 2008. Competition potential between saugers and walleyes in nonnative sympatry. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 137:790-800.

Bowen, S. H. 1996. Quantitative description of the diet. Pages 513-522 *in* B. R Murphy and D. W. Willis, editors. Fisheries techniques, 2nd edition. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Brown, M. L., F. Jaramillo, J., D. M. Gatlin, III, and B. R. Murphy. 1995. A revised standard weight (W_s) equation for channel catfish. Journal of Freshwater Ecology 10:295-302.

Brunsing, M. 1998. Fort Peck Reservoir study. Project period July 1997 to June 1998. Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks, Fisheries Division, Report, F-78-R-6, Helena.

Derback, B. 1947. The adverse effect of cold weather upon the successful reproduction of pickerel, *Stizostedion vitreum vitreum*, in Heming Lake, Manitoba in 1947. Canadian Fish Culturist 2:22-23.

Ebener, M. P., J. D. Stockwell, D. L. Yule, O. T. Gorman, T. R. Hrabik, R. E. Kinnunen, W. P. Mattes, J. K. Oyadomari, D. R. Schreiner, S. Geving, K. Scribner, S. T. Schram, M. J. Seider, and S. P. Sitar. 2008. Status of Cisco (*Coregonus artedi*) in Lake Superior during 1970-2006 and management and research considerations. Great Lakes Fishery Commission, Lake Superior Technical Report 1, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Engel, S. 1995. Eurasian Watermilfoil as a fishery management tool. Fisheries 20:20-27.

Erickson, C. M. 1983. Age determination of Manitoban walleyes using otoliths, dorsal spines, and scales. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 3:176-181.

Fielder, D. G. 1992. Evaluation of stocking walleye fry and fingerlings and factors affecting their success in lower Lake Oahe, South Dakota. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 12:336-345.

Fisher, S. J., and D. G. Fielder. 1998. A standard weight equation to assess the condition of North American lake herring (*Coregonus artedi*). Journal of Freshwater Ecology. 13:269-277.

Freeberg, M. H., W. W. Taylor, and R. W. Brown. 1990. Effect of egg and larval survival on year-class strength of lake whitefish in Grand Traverse Bay, Lake Michigan. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 119: 92-100.

Gablehouse, D. W., Jr., 1984. A length-categorization system to assess fish stocks. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 4:273-285.

Gaboury, M. N. and J. W. Patalas. 1984. Influence of water level drawdown on the fish populations in Cross Lake, Manitoba. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 41:118-125.

Guy, C. S., R. M. Neumann, D. W. Willis, and R. O. Anderson. 2007. Proportional size distribution (PSD): A further refinement of size structure index terminology. Fisheries 32:348.

Headley, H. C. 2007. Fort Peck Reservoir study. Project period July 2006 to June 2007. Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks, Fisheries Division, Report, F-78-R-6, Helena.

Headley, H. C. 2010. Fort Peck Reservoir study. Project period July 2009 to June 2010. Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks, Fisheries Division, Report, F-78-R-6, Helena.

Headley, H. C. 2012. Fort Peck Reservoir study. Project period July 2011 to June 2012. Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks, Fisheries Division, Report, F-78-R-6, Helena.

Headley, H.C., S. Dalbey, and D. Skaar. 2012. Fort Peck Reservoir Fisheries Management Plan 2012-2022. Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks, Fisheries Division.

Isermann, D. A., J. R. Meerbeek, G. D. Scholten, and D. W. Willis. 2003. Evaluation of three different structures used for walleye age estimation with emphasis on removal and processing times. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 23:625-631.

Kerr, S. J. 2011. Stocking and marking: Lessons learned over the past century. Pages 423-449 *in* B. A. Barton, editor. Biology, management, and culture of walleye and sauger. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Kolander, T. D., D. W. Willis, and B. R. Murphy. 1993. Proposed revision of the standard weight (W_s) equation for smallmouth bass. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 13:398-400.

Leathe, S. A. and P. J. Graham. 1982. Flathead Lake fish food habits study-Final report. Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks, Kalispell, Montana. 137p.

Liebelt, J. 1979. Establishment of Aquatic Baselines in Large Inland Impoundments. National Marine Fisheries Service, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, NOAA.

Lott, J., G. Marrone, D. Stout. 1997. Influences of size-and-date at stocking, imprinting attempts and growth on initial survival, homing ability, maturation patterns and angler harvest of Chinook salmon in Lake Oahe, SD. South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks, Wildlife Division, Report 97-20, Pierre.

Martin, D. B., L. J. Mengel, J. F. Novotny, and C. H. Walburg. 1981. Spring and summer water levels in a Missouri River Reservoir: Effects on age-0 fish and zooplankton. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 110:370-381.

Mullins, M. S. 1991. Biology and predator use of cisco (*Coregonus artedi*) in Fort Peck Reservoir, Montana. Master's thesis. Montana State University, Bozeman.

Murphy, B. R., M. L. Brown, and T. A. Springer. 1990. Evaluation of the relative weight (W_r) index, with new applications to walleye. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 10:85-97.

Nelson, W. R., and C. H. Walburg. 1977. Population dynamics of yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*), sauger (*Stizostedion canadense*), and walleye (*Stizostedion vitreum*) in four main stem Missouri River reservoirs. Journal of the Fisheries Research board of Canada 34:1748-1763.

Nielsen, L. A., D. L. Johnson, and S. S. Lampton. 1989. Fisheries techniques. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Paragamian, V. L., and R. Kingery. 1992. A comparison of walleye fry and fingerling stockings in three rivers in Iowa. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 12:313-320.

Pratt, T. C., and K. E. Smokorowski. 2003. Fish habitat management implications of the summer habitat use by littoral fishes in a north temperate, mesotrophic lake. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 60:286-300.

Rook, B. J., M. J. Hansen, and O. T. Gorman. 2013. Biotic and abiotic factors influencing cisco recruitment dynamics in Lake Superior during 1978-2007. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 33:1243-1257.

Rudstrum, L. G., R. C. Lathrop, and S. R. Carpenter. 1993. The rise and fall of dominant planktivore: direct and indirect effects on zooplankton. Ecology 74:303-319.

Secor, D. H., J. M. Dean, and E. L. Laban. 1992. Otolith removal and preparation for microstructural examination. Pages 19-57 *in* D. K. Stevenson, and S. E. Campana, editors. Otolith microsturucre examination and analysis. Canadian Special Publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences No. 117.

Sellers, T. J., B. R. Parker, D. W. Schindler, and W. M. Tonn. 1998. Pelagic distribution of lake trout in small Canadian Shield lakes with respect to temperature, dissolved oxygen, and light. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 55:170-179.

Scott, W. B., and E. J. Crossman. 1973. The freshwater fishes of Canada. Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Ottawa.

United States Army Corp of Engineers. 2009. Water Quality Modeling Report. Application of the CE-QUAL-W2 hydrodynamic and water quality model to Fort Peck Reservoir, Montana. Omaha, Nebraska.

United States Army Corp of Engineers, Omaha District. 2020. Missouri River Mainstem System 2020-2021 Annual Operating Plan.

Unmuth, J. M. L., M. J. Hansen, and T. D. Pellet. 1999. Effects of mechanical harvesting Eurasian watermilfoil on largemouth bass and bluegill population in Fish Lake, Wisconsin. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 19:1089-1098.

Vokoun, J. C., C. F. Rabeni, and J. S. Stanovick. 2001. Sample-size requirements for evaluating population size structure. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 21:660-665.

Wiedenheft, W. 1985. Development and management of commercial fishing practices in Fort Peck Reservoir. National Marine Fisheries Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA.

Willis, D. W. 1989. Proposed standard length-weight equation for northern pike. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 9: 203-208.

Zollweg, C. E., and S. Leathe. 2000. Tiber Cisco Spawning Study. Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks, Fisheries Division, Project report, Helena.

Prepared by: Heath Headley
Date: March 9th, 2021

Appendix 1. Common and scientific names of fishes mentioned in this report.

Common Name	Scientific name
Bigmouth buffalo	*Ictiobus cyprinellus*
Black bullhead	*Ictalurus melas*
Black crappie	*Pomoxis nigromaculatus*
Brassy minnow	*Hybognathus hankinsoni*
Brook stickleback	*Culaea inconstans*
Brown trout	*Salmo trutta*
Burbot	*Lota lota*
Channel catfish	*Ictalurus punctatus*
Chinook salmon	*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*
Cisco	*Coregonus artedii*
Common carp	*Cyprinus carpio*
Creek chub	*Semotilus atromaculatus*
Emerald shiner	*Notropis atherionoides*
Fathead minnow	*Pimephales promelas*
Flathead chub	*Hybopsis gracilis*
Freshwater drum	*Aplodinotous grunniens*
Goldeye	*Hiodon alosoides*
Green sunfish	*Lepomis cyanellus*
Lake chub	*Couesius plumbeus*
Lake trout	*Salvelinus namaycush*
Largemouth bass	*Micropterus salmoides*
Northern pike	*Esox lucious*
Paddlefish	*Polyodon spathula*
Pallid sturgeon	*Scaphirhynchus albus*
Plains minnow	*Hybognathus placitus*
Rainbow trout	*Oncorhynchus mykiss*
River carpsucker	*Carpoides carpio*
Sauger	*Sander canadense*
Shorthead redhorse	*Moxostoma macrolepidotum*
Shovelnose sturgeon	*Scaphiryhynchus platorynchus*
Silvery minnow	*Hybognathus argyritis*
Smallmouth bass	*Micropterus dolemieu*
Smallmouth buffalo	*Ictiobus bubalus*
Spottail shiner	*Notropis hudsonius*
Walleye	*Sander vitreum*
White crappie	*Pomoxis annularis*
White sucker	*Catostomus commersoni*
Yellow perch	*Perca flavescens*

Appendix 2. Number of walleye stocked in Fort Peck Reservoir during 2020 by date, region, location, and size.

Date	Location	Region	Fry	Fingerling	Hatchery
5/14/2020	Nelson Creek	UBD	2,800,000		Fort Peck
5/15/2020	McGuire	UBD	4,000,000		Fort Peck
6/15/2020	Lost Creek	UBD		117,970	Fort Peck
5/18/2020	Rock Creek	LBD	4,000,000		Fort Peck
6/12/2020	Bobcat Bay	LBD		114,096	Fort Peck
6/12/2020	Spring Creek	LBD		114,095	Fort Peck
6/15/2020	Box Creek	LBD		203,469	Fort Peck
6/15/2020	Box Elder Creek	LBD		73,558	Miles City
6/15/2020	Sand Arroyo	LBD		73,558	Miles City
6/22/2020	Cut Coulee	LBD		64,554	Fort Peck
6/24/2020	Haxby	LBD		36,014	Fort Peck
6/25/2020	Rock Creek high water ramp	LBD		67,208	Fort Peck
5/8/2020	Rock Creek	LMA	4,000,000		Fort Peck
6/10/2020	Fifth Coulee	LMA		90,059	Fort Peck
6/17/2020	Sage Creek	LMA		103,825	Fort Peck
6/17/2020	Third Coulee	LMA		65,458	Fort Peck
6/18/2020	FR Marina Area	LMA		224,693	Fort Peck
6/19/2020	Skunk Creek	LMA		56,564	Fort Peck
6/19/2020	Skunk and Duck	LMA		94,608	Fort Peck
6/22/2020	Bear Creek	LMA		120,199	Fort Peck
6/24/2020	Spring Draw	LMA		67,269	Fort Peck
6/25/2020	B/t Sage and Gilbert	LMA		97,958	Fort Peck
6/10,6/16,6/19/2020	Duck Creek ramp and area	LMA		543,289	Fort Peck
6/12,6/22/2020	Marina ramp	LMA		118,259	Fort Peck
6/15,6/22/20	Milk Coulee ramp	LMA		132,925	Fort Peck
6/25,6/26/2020	Flat Lake ramp	LMA		207,452	Fort Peck
5/4/2020	Hell Creek	MMA	13,000,000		Miles City
5/20/2020	Pines	MMA	5,200,000		Fort Peck
6/8/2020	Cattle/Crooked Creek	MMA		74,998	Miles City
6/10/2020	Seventh Coulee	MMA		90,059	Fort Peck
6/11/2020	Lower 8th Coulee	MMA		121,016	Fort Peck
6/11/2020	Middle 8th Coulee	MMA		121,017	Fort Peck
6/17/2020	Sutherland	MMA		112,000	Miles City
6/19/2020	Upper Duck Coulee	MMA		112,472	Miles City
6/22/2020	Beebe Coulee	MMA		134,977	Miles City
6/25/2020	Upper 8th Coulee	MMA		97,958	Fort Peck
6/10,6/26/20	Pines ramp	MMA		199,435	Fort Peck
6/17,6/24/2020	Gilbert Creek	MMA		171,093	Fort Peck
6/5,6/8,6/10/2020	Hell Creek	MMA		208,451	Miles City
Total			33,000,000	4,230,556	

[1]Upper Big Dry (UBD), Lower Big Dry (LBD), Lower Missouri Arm (LMA), Middle Missouri Arm (MMA).

Appendix 3. Temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen (mg/L), pH (standard units), turbidity (NTU), and total dissolved solids (g/L), profiles by month at Bug Creek site, Fort Peck Reservoir, 2020.

Depth (feet)	Temperature (C)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	pH (units)	Turbidity (NTU)	TDS (g/L)		Depth (feet)	Temperature (C)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	pH (units)	Turbidity (NTU)	TDS (g/L)
		May							**June**			
0	11.1	10.0	8.0	1.6	0.431		0	16.8	8.6	8.1	1.8	0.447
10	9.1	10.1	8.0	1.7	0.430		10	16.5	8.6	8.1	1.7	0.446
20	8.6	9.9	7.9	2.0	0.430		20	15.5	8.8	8.1	1.7	0.443
30	8.6	10.0	7.9	2.3	0.430		30	14.6	8.7	8.0	1.6	0.442
40	8.5	9.9	7.9	2.3	0.431		40	13.9	8.4	8.0	2.2	0.442
50	7.8	10.0	7.9	2.0	0.430		50	13.2	8.3	7.9	3.0	0.441
60	6.9	10.1	7.9	2.0	0.431		60	11.2	8.3	7.8	3.0	0.438
		July							**August**			
0	20.6	7.9	8.1	1.5	0.451		0	23.2	8.0	8.4	0.9	0.459
10	20.6	7.8	8.2	1.4	0.451		10	22.2	7.9	8.4	1.3	0.456
20	20.6	7.8	8.2	1.6	0.451		20	21.9	7.8	8.4	1.7	0.458
30	20.5	7.8	8.2	1.6	0.450		30	21.4	7.4	8.4	2.0	0.456
40	17.4	7.0	8.0	2.3	0.446		40	21.2	7.2	8.3	2.6	0.457
50	13.2	6.8	7.8	3.5	0.443		50	20.5	6.3	8.2	3.9	0.456
60	11.7	7.1	7.7	2.7	0.442		60	13.6	5.5	7.8	5.2	0.445
		September										
0	17.7	7.0	8.5	1.7	0.459							
10	17.5	8.0	8.6	2.0	0.459							
20	17.4	8.0	8.6	2.4	0.460							
30	17.3	7.9	8.6	3.4	0.463							
40	16.9	7.4	8.5	3.2	0.462							
50	13.3	5.6	8.1	3.3	0.448							
60	12.4	5.4	8.0	6.0	0.446							

Appendix 3 continued. Temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen (mg/L), pH (standard units), turbidity (NTU), and total dissolved solids (g/L), profiles by month at Spring Creek site, Fort Peck Reservoir, 2020.

Depth (feet)	Temperature (C)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	pH (units)	Turbidity (NTU)	TDS (g/L)		Depth (feet)	Temperature (C)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	pH (units)	Turbidity (NTU)	TDS (g/L)
		May							**June**			
0	9.4	10.5	8.1	1.3	0.433		0	15.7	9.0	8.1	2.8	0.442
10	7.2	10.6	8.1	1.5	0.432		10	15.2	9.1	8.1	2.6	0.441
20	6.4	10.7	8.1	1.7	0.430		20	14.5	9.0	8.1	2.0	0.440
30	6.1	10.7	8.0	0.8	0.433		30	14.3	8.9	8.0	1.5	0.440
40	6.1	10.7	8.0	0.7	0.433		40	13.8	8.8	8.0	1.7	0.440
50	6.1	10.7	8.0	0.9	0.432		50	13.3	8.8	8.0	2.0	0.442
60	6.1	10.7	8.0	0.7	0.432		60	12.4	8.7	7.9	5.1	0.440
70	6.0	10.7	8.0	0.8	0.432		70	10.9	8.8	7.9	2.4	0.438
80	6.0	10.7	7.9	0.6	0.432		80	9.2	9.0	7.8	1.2	0.438
90	6.0	10.7	7.9	0.6	0.433		90	9.1	9.0	7.8	1.0	0.438
		July							**August**			
0	20.0	7.9	8.1	1	0.450		0	23.2	8.0	8.5	0.8	0.457
10	20.0	8.0	8.2	1.1	0.450		10	22.2	8.0	8.5	1.2	0.456
20	19.9	8.0	8.2	1.2	0.450		20	21.8	7.9	8.5	1.4	0.456
30	19.6	7.8	8.2	1.4	0.448		30	21.8	7.8	8.4	1.4	0.456
40	17.5	7.3	8.1	1.7	0.447		40	21.5	7.7	8.4	1.7	0.455
50	14.5	7.3	7.9	2.3	0.438		50	19.7	6.6	8.2	2.7	0.450
60	11.4	7.5	7.8	2	0.441		60	12.9	6.1	7.9	1.7	0.442
70	9.6	7.8	7.7	2	0.440		70	11.2	6.4	7.8	1.5	0.442
80	9.1	7.9	7.7	1.6	0.440		80	10.6	6.6	7.8	1.3	0.441
90	8.8	8.0	7.7	1.7	0.439		90	9.7	6.7	7.8	1.2	0.441
							100	9.2	6.7	7.7	1.3	0.441
		September										
0	17.8	7.9	8.5	1.1	0.453							
10	17.8	7.9	8.6	1.3	0.453							
20	17.8	7.8	8.6	1.5	0.453							
30	17.7	7.7	8.6	1.8	0.453							
40	17.7	7.8	8.6	1.9	0.453							
50	17.6	7.6	8.5	2.3	0.453							
60	14.2	6.1	8.2	2.2	0.447							
70	13.1	5.8	8.1	2.2	0.446							
80	11.4	5.6	8.0	3	0.444							
90	10.7	5.6	7.9	3.6	0.443							
100	10.4	5.6	7.9	4.6	0.443							

Appendix 3 continued. Temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen (mg/L), pH (standard units), turbidity (NTU), and total dissolved solids (g/L), profiles by month at Haxby site, Fort Peck Reservoir, 2020.

Depth (feet)	Temperature (C)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	pH (units)	Turbidity (NTU)	TDS (g/L)		Depth (feet)	Temperature (C)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	pH (units)	Turbidity (NTU)	TDS (g/L)
		May							**June**			
0	8.1	10.8	8.0	0.7	0.436		0	16.3	9.1	8.2	1.5	0.446
10	6.2	10.8	8.0	1.0	0.435		10	16.2	9.1	8.2	1.6	0.445
20	6.1	10.8	8.0	0.9	0.434		20	15.4	9.0	8.1	1.7	0.444
30	6.1	10.8	8.0	0.8	0.435		30	15.3	9.0	8.1	2.1	0.443
40	5.9	10.7	7.9	0.6	0.435		40	14.9	8.8	8.1	1.8	0.441
50	5.9	10.8	7.9	0.8	0.434		50	14.6	8.8	8.1	1.9	0.440
60	5.9	10.7	7.9	0.9	0.434		60	12.0	9.0	8.0	1.5	0.439
70	5.8	10.7	7.9	0.7	0.435		70	9.6	9.2	7.9	1.1	0.437
80	5.8	10.8	7.8	1.0	0.434		80	8.4	9.3	7.8	0.9	0.438
90	5.8	10.7	7.7	1.0	0.433		90	8.1	9.3	7.8	1.3	0.438
100	5.8	10.7	7.6	0.8	0.434		100	7.8	9.3	7.8	1.1	0.438
110	5.8	10.7	7.6	1.3	0.435		110	7.6	9.4	7.8	1.1	0.438
120	5.7	10.7	7.6	1.1	0.435		120	7.3	9.3	7.8	0.7	0.437
130	5.6	10.6	7.6	1.2	0.435		130	7.2	93.8	7.8	1.0	0.436
140	5.2	10.6	7.7	1.2	0.436		140	7.0	9.4	7.8	0.9	0.437
		July							**August**			
0	20.0	8.0	8.1	1.5	0.454		0	23.0	7.8	8.5	1.1	0.456
10	19.9	8.0	8.2	1.6	0.454		10	22.1	7.9	8.5	2.3	0.455
20	19.9	8.0	8.3	1.4	0.454		20	21.9	7.9	8.5	1.6	0.455
30	19.9	7.9	8.3	1.3	0.453		30	21.7	7.7	8.4	1.6	0.455
40	19.9	7.9	8.3	1.9	0.453		40	21.4	7.4	8.4	1.6	0.455
50	19.9	7.9	8.3	2.1	0.453		50	18.9	6.2	8.2	1.9	0.447
60	12.8	7.6	7.9	1.7	0.443		60	15.8	5.7	8.0	1.8	0.447
70	10.9	8.0	7.9	1.8	0.440		70	13.4	6.1	7.9	1.3	0.442
80	9.7	8.2	7.8	1.4	0.441		80	11.2	6.7	7.8	1.2	0.441
90	9.2	8.2	7.8	1.5	0.439		90	9.7	6.9	7.8	1.2	0.440
100	8.7	8.3	7.8	1.3	0.439		100	9.6	7.0	7.8	0.7	0.440
110	8.6	8.3	7.8	1.2	0.440		110	9.3	7.0	7.8	1.0	0.440
120	8.4	8.3	7.7	1.3	0.439		120	9.2	7.1	7.8	0.7	0.440
130	8.1	8.3	7.7	1.3	0.439		130	8.9	7.0	7.8	0.8	0.440
140	7.9	8.4	7.7	1.0	0.438		140	8.9	7.0	7.7	0.7	0.439
		September										
0	18.1	7.9	8.5	1.3	0.453							
10	17.9	7.9	8.5	1.5	0.453							
20	17.8	7.8	8.5	1.8	0.453							
30	17.8	7.8	8.5	2.1	0.453							
40	17.8	7.7	8.5	1.8	0.453							
50	17.8	7.8	8.5	2.0	0.453							
60	17.8	7.7	8.5	1.7	0.452							
70	14.3	5.8	8.1	1.5	0.447							
80	12.7	5.7	8.0	1.5	0.445							
90	12.0	5.6	7.9	1.1	0.444							
100	11.2	5.6	7.9	1.4	0.443							
110	10.7	5.6	7.9	1.5	0.443							
120	10.1	5.6	7.8	1.2	0.442							
130	10.1	5.5	7.8	1.1	0.442							
140	9.9	5.5	7.8	1.3	0.442							

Appendix 3 continued. Temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen (mg/L), pH (standard units), turbidity (NTU), and total dissolved solids (g/L), profiles by month at Pines site, Fort Peck Reservoir, 2020.

Depth (feet)	Temperature (C)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	pH (units)	Turbidity (NTU)	TDS (g/L)		Depth (feet)	Temperature (C)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	pH (units)	Turbidity (NTU)	TDS (g/L)
		May							**June**			
0	9.4	10.8	7.9	1.3	0.433		0	16.3	9.0	8.2	1.1	0.449
10	7.8	10.9	8.0	1.1	0.432		10	16.2	9.0	8.2	1.2	0.449
20	7.4	10.9	8.0	1.4	0.432		20	15.7	8.8	8.2	1.6	0.451
30	6.7	11.0	8.0	1.2	0.430		30	15.3	8.7	8.1	1.2	0.449
40	6.6	10.9	7.9	1.0	0.430		40	14.4	8.7	8.1	1.7	0.447
50	6.4	10.9	7.9	1.3	0.429		50	12.4	8.8	8.0	1.3	0.442
60	6.4	10.9	7.9	3.0	0.430		60	10.9	9.1	8.0	1.6	0.443
70	6.3	10.9	7.9	3.3	0.430		70	10.4	9.0	7.9	1.4	0.441
80	6.0	10.8	7.8	1.4	0.432		80	9.1	9.2	7.9	1.1	0.435
90	5.9	10.8	7.7	1.1	0.432		90	7.8	9.3	7.8	1.2	0.434
100	5.8	10.8	7.7	1.2	0.432		100	6.8	9.3	7.8	0.7	0.436
110	5.6	10.7	7.6	0.6	0.431		110	6.5	9.1	7.7	1.2	0.436
		July							**August**			
0	20.5	8.1	8.3	1.1	0.452		0	23.8	7.8	8.5	1.6	0.450
10	20.3	8.0	8.3	1.1	0.451		10	22.2	7.9	8.5	1.3	0.448
20	20.2	8.0	8.3	1.3	0.452		20	21.8	7.4	8.4	1.4	0.449
30	20.2	7.9	8.3	1.5	0.452		30	21.5	7.1	8.4	1.3	0.450
40	17.9	7.2	8.2	1.7	0.449		40	21.0	6.9	8.4	1.7	0.454
50	14.8	7.3	8.0	1.7	0.445		50	18.1	5.2	8.1	2.0	0.447
60	13.7	7.2	7.9	2.2	0.445		60	16.2	5.7	8.0	1.7	0.447
70	11.5	7.5	7.8	1.8	0.437		70	14.1	5.8	7.9	1.4	0.445
80	9.9	7.7	7.8	1.8	0.439		80	12.6	6.0	7.8	1.1	0.445
90	9.0	7.8	7.8	1.8	0.439		90	11.9	6.1	7.8	1.0	0.443
100	8.5	7.9	7.7	1.6	0.438		100	10.7	6.1	7.8	1.1	0.442
110	7.7	7.9	7.7	1.7	0.438		110	9.7	6.0	7.7	1.2	0.443
		September										
0	18.6	7.9	8.5	1.3	0.448							
10	18.2	7.7	8.5	1.7	0.448							
20	18.2	7.6	8.5	1.6	0.448							
30	18.2	7.7	8.5	2.0	0.448							
40	18.1	7.7	8.5	2.0	0.448							
50	18.1	7.7	8.5	2.1	0.448							
60	17.1	6.6	8.3	2.1	0.449							
70	16.3	6.0	8.2	1.8	0.449							
80	14.1	5.0	8.0	2.1	0.446							
90	12.8	4.8	7.9	2.1	0.446							
100	9.8	4.9	7.8	2.4	0.443							

Appendix 3 continued. Temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen (mg/L), pH (standard units), turbidity (NTU), and total dissolved solids (g/L), profiles by month at Hell Creek site, Fort Peck Reservoir, 2020.

Depth (feet)	Temperature (C)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	pH (units)	Turbidity (NTU)	TDS (g/L)		Depth (feet)	Temperature (C)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	pH (units)	Turbidity (NTU)	TDS (g/L)
		May							**June**			
0	9.9	10.9	8.0	0.9	0.430		0	16.2	9.0	8.1	1.4	0.467
10	8.5	11.1	8.0	1.0	0.427		10	16.2	9.0	8.1	1.7	0.466
20	8.4	11.0	8.0	1.0	0.427		20	16.1	8.9	8.1	1.7	0.467
30	7.7	10.8	7.9	1.3	0.429		30	16.0	8.8	8.2	1.7	0.467
40	7.3	10.7	7.8	0.9	0.436		40	15.8	8.9	8.1	1.8	0.467
50	7.2	10.7	7.8	1.0	0.437		50	14.1	8.9	8.1	1.9	0.461
60	7.2	10.7	7.8	1.0	0.438		60	9.7	9.0	7.9	2.1	0.443
70	7.2	10.6	7.7	1.2	0.437		70	8.7	9.0	7.9	2.0	0.441
80	6.9	10.6	7.7	1.0	0.433		80	7.5	9.0	7.8	1.4	0.436
90	6.3	10.5	7.6	1.2	0.439		90	7.4	9.0	7.8	1.0	0.436
100	5.9	10.3	7.5	1.1	0.444		100	7.3	9.0	7.7	0.9	0.436
		July							**August**			
0	20.6	8.2	8.0	1.8	0.423		0	23.3	7.8	8.5	1.4	0.436
10	20.5	8.1	8.2	1.5	0.422		10	22.7	7.8	8.5	1.4	0.431
20	20.4	8.0	8.3	1.9	0.423		20	22.5	7.7	8.5	1.4	0.430
30	20.4	8.0	8.3	1.9	0.423		30	22.3	7.5	8.5	1.8	0.430
40	18.3	6.8	8.1	2.1	0.428		40	20.6	5.9	8.2	2.2	0.438
50	12.8	7.8	7.9	2.3	0.440		50	14.6	5.4	7.9	1.8	0.445
60	10.7	7.2	7.8	1.6	0.442		60	12.8	5.5	7.8	1.3	0.444
70	10.0	7.7	7.7	1.7	0.444		70	11.0	5.1	7.7	1.4	0.445
80	9.2	7.1	7.6	1.9	0.443		80	10.6	4.9	7.6	1.9	0.445
90	8.9	7.1	7.6	1.9	0.443		90	10.2	4.9	7.6	1.9	0.445
100	8.7	7.1	7.6	2.4	0.442		100	9.8	4.7	7.5	2.2	0.445
		September										
0	18.4	7.8	8.51	1.2	0.434							
10	18.3	7.6	8.53	1.8	0.434							
20	18.2	7.5	8.51	1.0	0.434							
30	18.2	7.6	8.52	1.8	0.434							
40	18.2	7.5	8.52	2.0	0.434							
50	18.2	7.6	8.52	2.2	0.434							
60	17.6	6.0	8.31	2.0	0.436							
70	15.4	3.9	7.9	2.8	0.440							
80	13.6	3.3	7.76	3.6	0.444							
90	12.4	3.1	7.68	4.8	0.446							
100	11.5	3.0	7.64	6.0	0.440							

Appendix 3 continued. Temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen (mg/L), pH (standard units), turbidity (NTU), and total dissolved solids (g/L), profiles by month at Timber Creek site, Fort Peck Reservoir, 2020.

Depth (feet)	Temperature (C)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	pH (units)	Turbidity (NTU)	TDS (g/L)		Depth (feet)	Temperature (C)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	pH (units)	Turbidity (NTU)	TDS (g/L)
		May							**June**			
0	10.7	10.6	7.8	2.5	0.510		0	17.8	8.5	8.1	5.2	0.456
10	10.2	10.7	7.9	2.4	0.510		10	17.8	8.5	8.2	5.1	0.456
20	10.1	10.3	7.9	2.2	0.519		20	17.1	8.0	8.1	5.1	0.452
30	9.8	10.0	7.9	2.8	0.522		30	10.2	7.7	7.7	4.7	0.467
40	9.6	9.7	7.8	3.2	0.524		40	9.6	7.6	7.6	5.5	0.469
50	9.3	9.7	7.8	3.9	0.519		50	9.3	7.7	7.6	5.3	0.467
60	9.2	9.6	7.7	3.6	0.522		60	9.0	7.9	7.6	5.9	0.463
		July							**August**			
0	20.1	7.7	8.0	3.5	0.404		0	23.4	7.7	8.4	1.3	0.384
10	19.6	7.5	8.1	4.2	0.407		10	23.2	7.8	8.4	1.5	0.385
20	16.2	6.1	7.9	3.9	0.435		20	22.0	7.0	8.4	2.3	0.400
30	12.5	6.2	7.7	3.7	0.454		30	20.8	5.6	8.2	2.1	0.419
40	11.4	5.9	7.6	4.3	0.456		40	17.7	4.3	7.9	2.9	0.441
50	11.1	5.8	7.6	5.4	0.456		50	15.2	3.7	7.6	3.9	0.443
60	10.8	5.7	7.6	8.0	0.456		60	12.1	2.7	7.4	7.2	0.453
		September										
0	18.4	7.7	8.5	1.0	0.403							
10	18.3	7.5	8.5	1.2	0.402							
20	18.3	7.4	8.5	1.4	0.403							
30	18.3	7.5	8.4	1.3	0.403							
40	18.3	7.3	8.4	1.7	0.402							
50	18.2	7.0	8.4	2.3	0.403							
60	18.1	5.9	8.3	7.9	0.405							

Appendix 4. Gill netting dates by region, water surface temperature range (°F), and reservoir elevation (MSL) during standard experimental gill net surveys on Fort Peck Reservoir. Mean water surface temperatures are given in parentheses.

	Region[1]					Water surface	Reservoir
Year	UBD	LBD	LMA	MMA	UMA	Temperature (°F)	Elevation (MSL)
1996	7/16 to 7/18	7/23 to 7/25	7/30 to 8/1	8/6 to 8/13	8/13 to 8/15	66 to 74 (69.4)	2246.5 to 2244.2
1998	7/17 to 7/28	7/15 to 7/21	7/14 to 7/30	8/5 to 8/11	8/11 to 8/13	NA	2239.7 to 2239.9
1999	7/13 to 7/20	7/15 to 7/22	7/23 to 7/28	7/29 to 8/9	8/10 to 8/11	67 to 76 (71.6)	2238.0 to 2236.9
2000	7/26 to 9/8	7/19 to 7/27	7/11 to 7/14	8/8 to 8/11	8/23 to 8/24	NA	2232.6 to 2231.0
2001	7/31 to 8/2	8/7 to 8/16	8/16 to 8/17	8/21 to 8/28	7/23 to 8/28	NA	2222.5 to 2221.8
2002	7/17 to 9/6	7/18 to 9/6	7/23 to 8/1	7/25 to 9/4	8/6 to 8/14	68 to 81 (74.3)	2220.2 to 2219.3
2003	7/10 to 8/20	7/10 to 8/5	7/8 to 8/13	7/15 to 8/12	7/22 to 7/24	NA	2213.0 to 2211.6
2004	7/14 to 7/15	7/13 to 7/15	7/20 to 7/22	7/21 to 7/27	7/27 to 7/29	69 to 77 (73.6)	2203.2 to 2201.6
2005	7/19 to 7/21	7/21 to 7/27	7/28 to 8/2	8/2 to 8/17	8/16 to 8/17	68 to 78 (72.1)	2203.4 to 2202.7
2006	7/11 to 7/13	7/18 to 7/20	7/20 to 7/26	7/26 to 8/3	8/3 to 8/16	69 to 80 (74.3)	2205.6 to 2204.2
2007	7/17 to 7/24	7/24 to 7/27	7/27 to 8/1	8/1 to 8/7	8/14 to 8/15	70.3 to 84.9 (78.2)	2202.9 to 2201.6
2008	7/15 to 7/17	7/17 to 7/23	7/24 to 7/30	7/30 to 8/4	8/4 to 8/6	67.1 to 80.2 (74.3)	2209.9 to 2210.0
2009	7/16 to 7/21	7/21 to 7/23	7/24 to 7/28	7/29 to 8/3	8/3 to 8/5	66.7 to 76.3 (71.1)	2220.5 to 2220.4
2010	7/13 to 7/20	7/20 to 7/22	7/22 to 7/28	7/28 to 8/5	8/3 to 8/5	67.3 to 77.9 (73.3)	2235.2 to 2235.7
2011	7/26 to 7/28	7/28 to 7/29	8/2 to 8/3	8/3 to 8/5	8/9 to 8/11	70.5 to 79.8 (75.2)	2249.3 to 2244.7
2012	7/17 to 7/19	7/19 to 7/20	7/24 to 7/25	7/25 to 8/1	7/30 to 8/1	67.2 to 83.5 (75.5)	2236.6 to 2235.8
2013	7/23 to 7/25	7/25 to 8/1	8/1 to 8/7	8/8 to 8/9	8/13 to 8/15	63.5 to 77.9 (72.3)	2236.3 to 2234.9
2014	7/17 to 7/22	7/22 to 7/24	7/24 to 7/30	7/30 to 8/7	8/5 to 8/7	67.8 to 79.8 (74.0)	2230.3 to 2229.9
2015	7/21 to 7/23	7/23 to 7/31	7/31 to 8/5	8/5 to 8/13	8/11 to 8/13	67.9 to 79.2 (73.0)	2236.4 to 2235.9
2016	7/19 to 7/21	7/21 to 7/27	7/27 to 8/3	8/2 to 8/5	8/9 to 8/11	69.4 to 77.7 (73.1)	2235.4 to 2234.7
2017	7/18 to 7/20	7/20 to 7/26	7/26 to 8/3	8/2 to 8/9	8/7 to 8/9	68.6 to 75.5 (72.1)	2239.6 to 2238.5
2018	7/18 to 7/20	7/20 to 7/24	7/24 to 7/31	7/31 to 8/8	8/6 to 8/8	69.2 to 77.4 (74.4)	2233.8 to 2247.9
2019	7/17 to 7/19	7/19 to 7/23	7/23 to 8/1	7/30 to 8/15	8/14 to 8/16	69.4 to 79.4 (73.2)	2246.7 to 2245.2
2020	7/15 to 7/17	7/17 to 7/22	7/22 to 7/28	7/28 to 8/4	8/4 to 8/6	68.7 to 78.7 (73.4)	2240.4 to 2240.0

[1]Upper Big Dry (UBD), Lower Big Dry (LBD), Lower Missouri Arm (LMA), Middle Missouri Arm (MMA), and upper Missouri Arm (UMA).

Appendix 5. Northern pike and channel catfish proportional stock density (PSD) relative stock density of preferred-length (PSD-P) fish and mean relative weight values (*Wr*), for 2005-2020, for fish collected in the standard July-August gill net survey, on Fort Peck Reservoir.

Northern pike				
Year	PSD	PSD-P	*Wr*	Sample size
2005	93	59	100.3	86
2006	89	60	98.9	108
2007	75	41	101.0	147
2008	89	39	100.0	137
2009	73	39	93.1	176
2010	68	24	100.0	191
2011	69	18	100.5	293
2012	75	15	99.0	503
2013	75	24	93.1	324
2014	82	33	96.2	336
2015	88	40	97.5	264
2016	82	38	92.9	226
2017	90	32	90.3	184
2018	94	40	95	165
2019	81	24	96.1	302
2020	85	25	96.5	206

Channel catfish				
Year	PSD	PSD-P	*Wr*	Sample size
2005	35	6	91.3	297
2006	46	10	95.1	215
2007	38	4	85.3	278
2008	35	2	88.2	289
2009	57	5	91.6	314
2010	74	11	88.2	104
2011	72	8	90.5	241
2012	65	3	87.9	272
2013	64	4	85.7	240
2014	80	3	84.7	246
2015	86	3	85.5	201
2016	65	4	86.5	217
2017	73	6	84.7	140
2018	53	13	88.2	179
2019	83	14	87.9	110
2020	75	19	85.0	158