## MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND PARKS FISHERIES DIVISION JOB PROGRESS REPORT

STATE: MONTANA PROJECT NUMBER: F-46-R-5

JOB NUMBER: VI-E

PROJECT TITLE: STATE TITLE: JOB TITLE: PERIOD COVERED: STATEWIDE FISHERIES INVESTIGATION STATEWIDE SURVEYS AND INVENTORIES ALTERNATIVE IRRIGATION STRUCTURES JULY 1, 1991 TO JUNE 30, 1992

#### ABSTRACT

Grant funding was provided to the Upper Musselshell and Jefferson Valley Conservation Districts to purchase alternative irrigation diversion structures for demonstration purposes. A consultant, under contract agreement, reviewed and recommended designs on four problem irrigation diversions.

### OBJECTIVES AND DEGREE OF ATTAINMENT

- 1. To engineer and design irrigation diversion structures that will have minimal physical effects on stream channels and fish habitat. Design and funding was approved for three projects and design recommendations were submitted on four projects.
- 2. To evaluate cost, maintenance and effects on stream channel stability of diversion projects for demonstration purposes. No reports were received from conservation districts this report period.

### **PROCEDURES**

Landowners with water rights or water use permits may divert water from rivers and streams for beneficial purposes. A common practice throughout Montana is to construct diversion dams by bulldozing up streambed material. This practice disrupts the armoring in the streambed and often causes stream channel instability resulting in erosion and sedimentation, thus adversely affecting fish habitat.

The Natural Streambed and Land Preservation Act (SB 310) administered by County Conservation Districts states that a permit is required on all activities undertaken by private individuals that affect the streambed and banks of perennial streams. Irrigation diversion structures that alter the streambed are no exceptions. However, many streambed material diversions are permitted because of a lack of less damaging alternatives.

In 1987 and 1988, Region 2 fisheries personnel experimentally developed a portable irrigation diversion structure that appeared to be a satisfactory alternative to diking up streambed materials. The structure consists of a 4 x 8 foot,  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch steel plate with a 10 or 12 inch wide flange on the front that serves to anchor and

prevent underscouring of the plate when in the stream. The plates are placed side by side in the stream and topped with jacklegs to hold boards in place. Boards can be easily placed or removed from the structure to control water levels. A tractor with a front end loader is used to place and remove the plates from the stream.

Conservation districts were contacted throughout the state to sponsor alternative irrigation diversions for demonstration purposes. A Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is signed by the Conservation District Board Chairman and the Department Director outlining terms of agreement for the financing of the structures.

A contract was consummated with a private consulting firm to study problem diversion sites and recommend designs that may be environmentally acceptable and affordable. Plans acceptable by the Department are recommended to conservation districts as an alterative diversion and would be eligible for cost sharing benefits.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During this report period, two MOU's were signed with conservation districts to demonstrate the portable irrigation diversion structures. Upper Musselshell Conservation District was granted \$2,000 and they contracted with a high school FFA for construction of the diversions. Jefferson Valley was also granted \$2,000, however, they have not completed the diversions as of this date.

Conservation districts loan the structures to interested operators to evaluate. It is hopeful that successful operation at diversion sites will motivate irrigators to purchase or construct their own diversions. The individual 8 foot diversion plates can be constructed for about \$200 a piece, while contracted prices will range from \$250 to \$300.

No evaluation reports were received from conservation districts during this reporting period.

A consulting firm reviewed and recommended alterative irrigation diversion practices at four sites. The sites were on the North Fork of Graves Creek in Lincoln County, Little Prickly Pear Creek and the Big Blackfoot River in Lewis and Clark County and several sites on the Teton River in Teton County. Some of these sites are eligible for alterative division grant funding. No applications for funding were received for any of these projects. Site reviews were limited to those recommended by fishery biologists.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

Renewing of the contract with a private engineering firm to study and design alternative irrigation diversions is recommended. Regional fishery personnel recommend where studies are needed on problem diversions. These diversions usually have a history of channel disturbance and biological problems. A portion of the alternative diversion funding should be available on a cost share basis for those irrigators desiring to participate with the program.

The portable irrigation diversion demonstration program should continue to be available to conservation districts. Assistance should also be available to individuals to help solve diversion problems on important streams where chronic biological damage has occurred over several years.

Prepared by: Al Wipperman

Date: <u>August 24, 1992</u>