103

Ambient Toxicity Assessments of Clark Fork River Water-Toxicity Tests and Metals Residues in Brown Trout Organs

Glenn Phillips and Ron Spoon Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks

Abstract: Trout population densities decline dramatically in the Clark Fork River from nearly 2,000 catchable brown trout per mile just downstream of mine waste settling ponds to 50 trout Instream toxicity tests (1986-89), and analyses of metals in fish organs (1989) were conducted in various river reaches to try to understand how metals influence trout density patterns.

Instream toxicity tests with swim-up stage rainbow trout fry demonstrate that river water induces chronic mortality during spring runoff when metals concentrations, particularly copper, exceed chronic criteria for protection of aquatic life. Concentrations of copper in livers of adult brown trout (salmo trutta) are higher than those in laboratory fish populations exposed for several generations to chronically toxic concentrations of copper. Both acute and chronic stress from metals, particularly copper, are implicated as contributing to poor fish production in the Clark Fork.

INTRODUCTION

Fish kills have occurred frequently in the upper Clark Fork River over the last several years. Six were documented between 1983 and 1989 — some killing several thousand fish. Kills occur during high intensity summer thunderstorms that flush metals salts accumulated on the surface of streamside mine tailings into the river. Tailings are rich in cadmium, copper, zinc, arsenic, iron and other metals.

During late winter and spring, concentrations of metals (particularly copper) in the Clark Fork frequently exceed criteria for protection of freshwater aquatic life (USEPA 1985). Fish kills have not been documented during these events but they may chronically stress trout populations by causing toxicity to sensitive early life stages — occurrences that could easily go unnoticed.

To evaluate effects on early life stages, we conducted instream toxicity tests using fry and fingerling rainbow trout (1986-89) and measured concentrations of metals in brown trout organs (1989). Data were collected in the Clark Fork drainage between Anaconda and Clinton to gain a better understanding of factors influencing the distribution and abundance of brown trout in this segment of the river.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Toxicity Tests

Beginning in 1986, in stream toxicity tests were conducted in the Clark Fork River for four consecutive years. Tests included at least six sites and up to nine sites including one or two control sites (Figure 1). Fingerling rainbow trout were tested during 1986 and 1987 and swim-up stage fry were tested from 1987-1989. All test fish were the progeny of Eagle Lake brood stock obtained from the Creston National Fish Hatchery, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Creston, Montana.

Tests began in early to mid-April and continued through spring runoff—terminating in early July. Fish were kept in cube-shaped vessels, approximately 30 cm on a side, constructed with a wooden frame covered with 3 mesh nylon netting. Vessels were suspended with floats, tethered to a fence post, and positioned in low velocity areas near the edge of the current—typical rearing areas for young fish. The fry test containers were equipped with an automatic feeding device (Sweeney Enterprises Inc., Bourne, TX 78006) that included a timer, rheostat and battery.

Equipment was calibrated so that fry were fed commercial trout food four times daily; fingerlings were not fed during the tests. Tests included 40 fingerlings or 100 fry per vessel. Two vessels per site were used during fingerling tests.

Mortality was monitored three times weekly and alkalinity, hardness and pH were measured in the field each week (Table 1). Additionally, water samples were collected three times each week and analyzed for total recoverable, copper and zinc. A pair wise multiple comparison technique was used to determine if mortality in the mainstem was statistically different from the control site (Fleiss 1981).

Metals in fish organs

During April 1989, brown trout were sampled from five locations along the Clark Fork River and one location in Rock Creek. Sampling sites included reaches near Warm Springs, Sager Lane, Deer Lodge, Gold Creek and Beavertail. Rock Creek was sampled approximately two miles upstream from its mouth as a control site (Figure 1).

Approximately 30 adult brown trout were sampled from each site. Trout were weighed, measured and sacrificed in the field and liver, kidney and gill tissue were dissected, placed in glass containers and iced; tissues were frozen later the same day. At a given site, fish were intentionally selected to include a range of sizes. Mean lengths (mm) of fish sampled were: Warm Springs - 328, Sager Lane - 334, Deer Lodge - 358, Gold Creek - 324, Beavertail - 331 and Rock Creek 372.

Figure 1. Map of the upper Clark Fork River basin showing sites for bioassays, fish organ sampling and habitat inventory work.




Table 1. Alkalinity, hardness, and pH at nine locations on the Clark Fork River and tributaries during bioassays conducted from 1986 through 1988.

				fotal alkalinity	ni ty			7	Hardress				8	
Loranion.	£		CORRECT CORREC	my/1 (ascacu.)		18	Rear	7	range	9 8	THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT	Tage .	range	8
G. Lusting Creak	26	AND	88	64-110		10	2	***	751-79	2		1	7.1-8.2	0.3
	Sien Sien		8	221-99	:	N.	15%		80-262	es Es		۵۰ ۴-	7.8.2.	N .
Clark Fork at Larm Sorings	*		%** %**	58-100		ŭ	13%	****	80-216	Ņ		ස නේ	7.6-8.4	n o
Mace Track Creek	28		2	071-87	1₩	2	26		28-122	Ŋ		2	6.9-7.7	Ni Co
Clark Fork at	ន		\$0 \$0 \$0	82-28	278	<i>Q</i>	200		96-252	×		80	7.5-8.1	N 0
Lirria Miachfoot			101	28-38		Pro.	10%		80-130	- 92		80	7.7-7.9	 5
Clark Fork at Gold Creek	\$		2	76-184			167	. *	94-216	88			7.2.8	*** •
Clark Fork at Benvertail	8		\$2.00 \$2.00	96-172		56	186	ų.	100-230	2			 	N O
Clark Fork at	N.		2	62-128		60	8		971-49	2		for Pos	۲. هو. دو.	N.
							1.5							

Prior to analyses, frozen tissues were vacuum freezed dried in a lyophilizer. Freeze dried tissues were microwave digested in concentrated nitric acid and analyzed for copper, cadmium and zinc using either induction coupled plasma spectrophotometry or graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Quality control measures included analyses of duplicates, spikes, and reference materials (EPA fish samples and NBS bovine liver). The instrument was calibrated initially and recalibrated after every twenty samples using three reference standards and a blank. Each calibration was cross checked with an EPA reference water sample.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Toxicity Tests

During all four years of testing, mortality was observed in Silver Bow Creek and at several Clark Fork River sites that was significantly higher (P<0.05) than at control sites (Table 2). Mortality in Silver Bow Creek was at or near 100 percent during all four years of testing and was associated with extremely high concentrations of copper and zinc.

In some instances high mortality corresponded with low fish population densities. For example, the Beavertail section, where significant mortality occurred each year, supports less than fifty catchable brown trout per mile — the lowest trout density in the Clark Fork River (Johnson and Schmidt 1988). Similarly the Silver Bow Creek site, where mortality was nearly complete is unable to support trout. Comparatively, mortality at the Clinton site, downstream of the confluence with Rock Creek, was not statistically different from the control — reflective of lower metals concentrations downstream of Rock Creek (Table 2).

High mortality in the Gold Creek section observed during 1987 was associated with a local thunderstorm that apparently washed mine wastes into the river. Most of the mortality occurred the day of the thunderstorm and coincided with a local fish kill in thetable 2table 2briver. Water monitoring conducted the day after the storm was too late to detect elevated concentrations of metals.

Concentrations of copper at all sites except Clinton and zinc in Silver Bow Creek were in a range shown to cause chronic toxicity to laboratory fish populations (USEPA 1985). But the relationship between rates of mortality at some of the sites and concentrations of metals (measured as total recoverable) was not well defined. For example, in a given year measured concentrations of both copper and zinc were often highest at Warm Springs, yet rates of mortality were higher at downstream sites where metals concentrations were lower. Perhaps there are unmeasured variables that influence metals speciation and toxicity. Sampling may also have

Table 2. Results of instream toxicity tests in the Clark Fork drainage using fry and fingerling trout. \approx

1996 1996	Location	COPPY	Copyer (ug/1) mean range	ZÍZ	Zinc (us/1), mean renge	Narchess (Narchess (M9/1 es CaCO.) mash range		Comulariye & morgality fry fingenting	fingerting	A Sul
5 (5-10) 7 (3-15) 83 (28-116) 201 (90-690) 381 (154-770) 84 (66-96) 48 (5-160) 141 (35-693) 128 (80-200) 59 (20-160) 67 (24-130) 177 (96-224) 55 (10-160) 60 (19-163) 145 (94-170) 28 (5-170) 83 (24-223) 155 (110-184) 28 (5-170) 44 (9-105) 103 (70-124) 28 (5-10) 12 (6-24) 110 (96-122) 29 (70-520) 478 (32-994) 116 (104-216) 28 (10-50) 99 (27-430) 169 (140-216) 28 (10-50) 35 (4-54) 172 (100-226) 16 (5-40) 31 (4-54) 193 (140-216) 16 (5-40) 31 (4-54) 113 (66-148)	терительной применення по применення по применення по по применення по по применення по применення по применен	Sino-appropriate transfer and a second of the second of th	oenieten Neurosa de des la composite de la com		ACCEPTANTE OF THE PROPERTY OF	NAMES AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY					
201 (90-690) 381 (154-770) 84 (64-96) 48 (5-160) 141 (35-693) 128 (80-200) 59 (20-140) 67 (24-130) 177 (96-224) 55 (10-160) 60 (19-163) 145 (96-224) 28 (5-70) 83 (24-233) 155 (110-184) 28 (5-70) 44 (9-105) 103 (70-124) 29 (5-10) 12 (6-24) 110 (96-122) 29 (70-520) 478 (32-994) 116 (104-124) 14 (5-30) 35 (19-57) 172 (100-228) 15 (5-40) 31 (4-54) 192 (100-228) 15 (5-40) 37 (2-30) 113 (66-148)	etrack Creek (control)	! ?	00	Pro	5	2	(28-116)		9		0
48 (3-160) 141 (35-693) 128 (80-200) 59 (20-160) 67 (24-130) 177 (96-224) 55 (10-160) 60 (19-163) 145 (96-170) 58 (5-70) 83 (24-223) 155 (110-184) 28 (5-70) 44 (9-105) 103 (70-124) 6 (5-10) 12 (6-24) 110 (98-122) 28 (10-50) 478 (32-994) 116 (140-216) 28 (10-50) 99 (27-430) 169 (140-216) 14 (5-30) 35 (4-54) 172 (100-228) 15 (5-40) 17 (2-30) 113 (66-148)	Salver Box Creek	503	(069-06)	38	(154-770)	ž	(96-99)		,		30.
\$9 (20-140) 67 (26-130) 177 (96-224) \$5 (10-160) 60 (19-163) 145 (96-170) \$5 (5-170) 83 (26-223) 155 (110-184) \$6 (5-10) 44 (9-105) 103 (70-124) \$6 (5-10) 12 (6-24) 110 (90-122) \$19 (70-520) 478 (32-994) 116 (106-216) \$2 (10-50) 99 (27-430) 169 (140-216) \$1 (5-40) 35 (4-54) 172 (100-228) \$1 (5-40) 31 (4-54) 192 (100-228) \$1 (5-40) 37 (2-30) 113 (66-148)	erk fork at Narm Springs	3	(\$-160)	7	(35~693)	200		Star Star Star Star Star Star Star Star	. 8		'n
\$\$ (10-160) 60 (19-163) 145 (94-170) 55 (10-164) 55 (10-164) 83 (24-223) 155 (110-184) 55 (25-10) 44 (9-105) 103 (70-124) 55 (25-10) 12 (4-24) 110 (98-122) 55 (27-430) 140 (140-216) 144 (5-30) 35 (19-57) 115 (124-204) 15 (5-40) 15 (5-54) 115 (64-148) 15 (5-20) 17 (2-30) 113 (64-148) 1	rk Fork at Deer Lodge	*	(0%-02)	19	(24-120)		(%2-55%)		8 6		20 307)
55 (5-170) 83 (24-223) 155 (110-184) 28 (5-70) 44 (9-105) 103 (70-124) 1087 1087 109 (70-124) 1287 109 (70-124) 129 (70-124) 129 (70-124) 120 (70-124) 120 (70-124) 120 (70-124) 120 (70-124) 120 (100-124) 120 (100-124) 120 (100-124) 120 (100-228) 120 (5-40) 17 (2-30) 113 (64-148)	FORK BY GOLd Creek	an an	(10-160)	3	(19-163)	165	(94-170)		ă S		۶
103 (70-124) 103 (70-124) 103 (70-124) 103 (70-124) 103 (70-124) 103 (70-124) 103 (70-124) 104 (104-125) 105 (104-125) 105 (104-124) 105 (104-124) 105 (104-124) 105 (104-124) 105 (104-216) 105	rk fork at Beavertail	87) 87	(5-170)	2	(24-223)	*** %# %#	(110-184)		*		5 5 63
rol) 6 (5-10) 12 (6-24) 110 (98-122) rings 28 (10-50) 478 (32-994) 116 (104-124) eek 14 (5-30) 35 (19-57) 172 (124-204) sil 15 (5-40) 31 (4-54) 113 (64-148)	The Fork at Clinton	89	(S-70)	**	(9~105)	103	(70-124)		3		247
8 (5-20) 12 (6-24) 110 (98-122) 8 (70-520) 478 (32-994) 116 (104-124) 14 (5-30) 35 (19-57) 159 (124-204) 15 (5-40) 31 (4-54) 192 (100-228) 19 (5-20) 17 (2-30) 113 (64-148)					1987						
8 (5-20) 478 (32-994) 116 (104-124) 18 (104-124) 18 (104-124) 18 (104-124) 18 (104-124) 18 (104-124) 18 (104-124) 18 (104-124) 18 (104-124) 19 (104-124) 19 (104-124) 19 (104-124) 19 (104-124) 19 (104-124) 19 (104-124) 19 (104-124) 19 (104-124) 19 (104-124) 19 (104-124) 19 (104-144)	etrack Creek (control)	9	(\$~10)	8N2 977	(9-54)	110	(98-122)	17	60	:	eve
28 (10-50) 99 (27-430) 169 (140-216) [14 (5-30) 35 (19-57) 172 (124-204) 15 (5-40) 31 (4-54) 192 (100-228) [8 (5-20) 17 (2-30) 113 (64-148) [STIVETEDE Creek	219	(70-520)	478	(32-994)		(321-301)		S.		22
14 (5-30) 35 (19-57) 172 (124-204) 15 (5-40) 31 (4-54) 192 (100-228) 8 (5-20) 17 (2-30) 113 (64-148)	ork fork at Narm Springs	80%	(10-50)	8	(27-430)	160	(360-216)		5		A-
15 (5-40) 31 (4-54) 192 (100-228) 8 (5-20) 17 (2-30) 113 (64-148)	HY Fork at gold Creek	37	(02-5)	863 883	(19-57)	Z	(124-204)		36		2
8 (5-20) 17 (2-30) 113 (64-148)	Clark Fork of Seavertail	\$	(07-5)	*0	(75-2)	192	(100-228)		- 217 279		e N
	Clark Fork at Clinton	23	(8-20)	5	(2-30)	133	(871-79)		10		æ

a significantly different from the control at the 95% centidence level.

Table 2 (continued) Results of instream toxicity tests in the Clark Fork drainage using fry and Ingering Kaindow trout.

	Coppe Marin	Copper (US/1)	Z mee	Zine (ug/1) mmen range		Nordness aven	Hardness (mg/l as CaCC), assen range	(70)	Cumulative (rry	Cumulative X mortality fry fingerling
					1988	And the special state of the s	THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY OF T	wer///water END proceedings	WATER AND THE COLUMN CONTRACTOR OF THE COLUMN COLUM	West and the second
Recenter Creek (control)		(3-6)		(5-24)		£	(38-110)	5- ⁸ -0 547	0	
Little Blacktoot River (control)		(1-2)	Ws.	(3-10)		100	(80-130)		•6	*
Silver Sow Creek	38%	(17-2200)	\$	505 (286-3740)		2	(102-154)		100*	
Will Witten	ŝ	(5-220)	ry Vi	(9-284)		2	(80-262)		22	3
Clark Fork at Worm Springs	£	(10-68)) S	(21-165)		**	(114-202)	4-4 	ş.	2
Cierk Fork at Beck Will	×	(10-%)	**	(10-127)		\$0%	(166-252)		40	3
Clark Fork at Gold Creek	N	(7-63)	Ž	(7.81)		Ę	(126-216)		ะก	9
Clerk fork at Beavertail	N	(3-34)	**************************************	(8-109)		*	(160-230)		397	
clark Fork at Clincon	Pr.	(98-9)	%	(\$-105)		101	(84-142)	- 111 - V.T.	9	ģ
				***	1989					
Recerrack Greek (control)	ye.	(1.3)	*	(5-22)	٠.	88	(42-118)	*	re	
Silverton Creek			3			9			4.88	8 8
Clark fork at Back Hill	ñ	(02-30)	**	(19-61)		8	(160-236)	- - 00 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25	SS	9 9
Clark Fork at Gold Creek	\$	(07-8)		(12-53)		2.5	(116-205)		334	*
Clark Fork at Beavertail	\$	(09-7)	25	(8-67)		180	(128-228)	s. '	879	3 2
Cierk Fork at Clinton	02	18-201	8	188.77		66.	400 400			

" significantly different from the control at the 95% confidence level.

b 68% mortality occurred the first day of the test; the site was variablized the second day.

Table 3. Summary of bioassay results in the Clark Fork River drainage.

****	Lough	Test species and life stage	Made parrat comm. (ug/1)	. 8	Mardhose 29/1 as Cally	Kasponsa Rásoften	Avabanianianianianianianianianianianianiania
Angust 26 · October 6. 1977	Pund-8 discharge	Dashari a asyne, 117a ayelo			\$25	tense young/tessils	Mensales (1972)
July 21 " December 21, 1977	Pend-2 65 schreuge	reinhow tract, ages and fry	- 55 64	***	200	ed raspense	810mm44m (1978)
May 16 .	Femd-2 discharges	hiz pur esse trents upquist		2	370	rechoosed greenth try	Nessentau (1979)
Kay 16 -	Vand-2 discharge	Daphels elddorderfflans. 1176 cyclo	82	ħ.	316	redused curvivel	Medical and (1979)
236	Clark Forks	E & Sec. 10 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	8 7 .	101	. 3	scory lengt transcorses trates retor	Jealth and Halanson (1982)
May 7.	Clark fork, Coor Lodge	patrons groves grove eggs	48) 24	2	20	enwadas su	Parresh and Abdressas (1986)
Nay 7	Clark Fark, Dest Ladge	ratedom trout, eyed agin	8	R	179	16.58 serrolity (control 5.5%)	Porrish and Redriquez (1986)
New 16-22, 1985	SBC ³ , noir Colorado latitude 18% dilucion	Carfadashnia, 1878 syels	.	\$ <u>\$</u>			Laterahab (1986)
Ney 16-22, 1983	SEC.*, none Remany Flats 327 dilution	Cartesaphates, 11fe ayets	*** **	320	136	TOT	Larurehan (1986)
May 16-12, 1988	SEC., shove pends.	Cartedephnia, 11te eyela	89. 19.	197	218	LOEL	Lazorehok (1986)
May 16-22, 1995	Pond-2 discharge	Cartodaphofa, 14fe eyele	33	288	185	ne response	Lacorense (1986)
Ney 24". Juno 6, 1983	Clark Fork, Bose Ladge	rainkan trank, lingseding	\$5 \$25	**	133	in warealthy (concret 74)	Parrials and Redesquar (1986)
Nay 23-Juna 1.	SUC, sbare pends	Cartaghais, 1896 eyels	195	130	9		Mtscs (1987)

been too infrequent to measure acute events such as occurred near Gold Creek during a thunderstorm. Our observations point out the difficulty of assessing toxicity potential using only periodic water sampling.

Several investigators have evaluated the toxicity of river water in the Clark Fork drainage (Table 3) and have observed mortality. Bionomics (1979) tested the toxicity of water discharged from Warm Springs Pond-2 to early life stages of rainbow trout (eggs and fry) and to Daphnia middendorffiana — a native daphnid. Rainbow trout survival and hatchability were not reduced by exposure to pond water but all fry, including those exposed to dilutions of 50 and 75% pond water, experienced reduced growth. Copper and zinc concentrations in a 50% dilution of pond-2 watertable 3averaged 25 and 65 ug/l, respectively. Additionally, Daphnia middendorffiana reproduction was significantly impaired by exposure to 100% pond water but not by exposure to 50% pond water (Bionomics 1979). Copper and zinc concentrations in 100% pond water were 33 and 77 ug/l, respectively. Identical tests with Daphnia magna produced a similar result (Bionomics 1978); numbers of young per female were reduced by exposure to 27 ug Cu/l and 31 ug Zn/l (measured as total recoverable).

Janik and Melancon (1982), during a site specific water quality assessment of Silver Bow Creek and the upper Clark Fork, completed a few bioassay tests with Daphnia and bluegill. In these tests Daphnia were not adversely affected by Clark Fork River water nor was ventilation rate in bluegill. However, bluegill in Clark Fork River water showed evidence of acetylcholinesterase inhibition. Total and dissolved copper and zinc-concentrations during the survey averaged 30 and 22 ug Cu/l and 101 and 91 ug Zn/l; the report did not include specific information on metals concentrations that were present in the bioassay water.

Parrish and Rodriguez (1985) tested the chronic toxicity of Clark Fork River water in the Deer Lodge vicinity to early life stages of rainbow trout including separate tests using green eggs, eyed eggs, and fingerlings. Tests were conducted in May and early June to coincide with runoff, however, unusually dry spring conditions resulted in lower than normal streamflows and concomitantly low metals concentrations. Percent mortality of both eyed eggs and fingerlings were higher in 100% Clark Fork River water that in various dilutions of Clark Fork River water but results were not conclusive. During the test, acid soluble copper concentrations ranged from 10-78 ug/l. For the water hardnesses that were present, EPA chronic and acute criteria for copper were calculated to be approximately 20 and 31 ug/l respectively. It is noteworthy that most of the mortality occurred during the last week of the tests when copper concentrations exceeded the acute criteria (when the weekly average concentration reached 78 ug Cu/l).

Concentrations of copper and zinc measured in previous tests where mortality occurred are similar to those measured during our tests (Table 2). Plausibly, copper is present in much of the Clark Fork during most years at concentrations that affect larval survival but not adults. Unpolluted tributaries and possibly springs that upwell in the mainstem may be necessary for recruitment to the population. Additional work is needed to identify spawning areas and spawning success in the Clark Fork.

Metals in Fish Organs

Cadmium, copper and zinc residues found in kidney, liver and gill of adult brown trout collected from various reaches of the Clark Fork River and Rock Creek are summarized in Figures 2-4. All metals concentrations are expressed on a dry weight basis.

Cadmium

Laboratory toxicity tests show that brook trout accumulate cadmium in liver, kidney, and gill and that residues increase with exposure concentration (Benoit et al. 1976). All tissues reached an equilibrium after approximately 20 weeks of exposure to cadmium.

The concentration of cadmium in organs of Clark Fork brown trout decreased from upstream to downstream. Cadmium concentrations in liver and kidney were higher than published reports of background concentrations (Benoit et al. 1976) but lower than those measured in brook trout exposed in the laboratory for 39 weeks (Benoit et al. 1976) to cadmium concentrations that were just above the chronic toxicity threshold of 3.4 ug Cd/l. Cadmium in gill was near or below reported background concentrations at all sites. Data suggest that Clark Fork River brown trout are exposed to cadmium concentrations that are above background but lower than required to elicit chronic toxicity. Concentrations of cadmium measured in the gills of Clark Fork fish which died during fish kills also indicate that cadmium is not as important as copper and zinc during acute toxicity events in the Clark Fork (Phillips 1985).

Zinc

Zinc concentrations in organs of Clark Fork River fish were similar at all sites sampled including the control site in Rock Creek (Figure 3). Concentrations in kidney and gill were higher, and in liver similar to concentrations reported as baseline for rainbow trout (Goettl et al. 1971). Mount (1964) showed that the concentration of zinc in gill and kidney increased with exposure concentration but liver did not accumulate zinc. This may account for zinc concentrations in livers of Clark Fork fish being near reported background concentrations

Water monitoring of Clark Fork River water conducted by the Water Quality Bureau (Gary Ingman, unpublished data) indicate that water quality criteria for zinc are only occasionally exceeded. Although zinc is present at high concentrations during fish kills and may contribute to acute mortality, residues of zinc in organs are not indicative of exposure to concentrations of zinc that would be expected to be chronically toxic.

Copper

Benoit (1975) observed that fish begin to accumulate copper in organs when exposed to concentrations at and above the chronic toxicity threshold, suggesting that any accumulation of copper may be indicative of exposure to chronically toxic concentrations. Liver has a tendency to retain accumulated copper (Solbe and Cooper 1976) thus liver residues of copper may be an index of chronic exposure to low concentrations.

Copper concentrations in liver and gill of Clark Fork fish were highest near Warm Springs and decreased downstream (Figure 4). Kidney copper concentrations were similar at all sites including the Rock Creek control site. Concentrations in liver were extremely high at all Clark Fork sites with average concentrations as high as 1663 ug/g at Warm Springs and 1517 ug/g at Sager Lane. These concentrations are much higher than those reported as background (McKim and Benoit 1974) and exceed by several-fold concentrations found in laboratory fish populations experiencing chronic toxicity due to copper (Benoit 1975). Rainbow trout exposed to 59 ug Cu/I for 107 weeks accumulated a maximum concentration in liver of 738 ug Cu/g (Goettl et al. 1974). Bluegill exposed for 24 months to 162 ug Cu/I in water averaged 480 ug Cu/g in their livers. This concentration in water caused reduced survival, growth, and spawning success in adult bluegill. Concentrations in water as low as 40 ug Cu/I caused reduced survival in larval bluegill.

Concentrations of copper in gill also exceeded those in gills of fish suffering from chronic copper toxicity (Benoit 1975). Solbe and Cooper (1976) found that fish eliminate copper rapidly from gill after return to copper free water. The high concentration of copper in gill from trout collected from the Clark Fork near Warm Springs indicate that they were being exposed to copper at the time they were collected. Indeed, copper concentrations in water were high near Warm Springs immediately before trout were collected for organ testing (Gary Ingman, unpublished data).

Results indicate that Clark Fork brown trout are exposed to large amounts of bioavailable copper. While there is no direct evidence that adult trout were adversely affected, residues of copper in liver are indicative of exposure to concentrations that have proven to be chronically toxic to sensitive life stages of fishes including salmonids.

Figure 2. Cadmium concentrations (and 95% confidence intervals) in liver, kidney and gill from Brown trout taken from various reaches of the Clark Fork Basin and from Rock Creek.




Figure 3. Zinc concentrations (and 95% confidence intervals) in liver, kidney and gill from Brown trout taken from various reaches of the Clark Fork Basin and from Rock Creek.





Figure 4. Copper concentrations (and 95% confidence intervals) in liver, kidney and gill from Brown trout taken from various reaches of the Clark Fork Basin and from Rock Creek.



REFERENCES

- Benoit, D.A. 1975. Chronic effects of copper on survival, growth, and reporduction of the bluegill (Lepomis macrochirus). Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 104 (2): 353-358.
- Benoit, D.A., E.N. Leonard, G.M. Christensen, and J.T. Fiandt. 1976. Toxic effects of cadmium on the three generations of brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis). Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 105(3): 550-556.
- Bionomics. 1978. The effects of a treated copper mining milling and smelting effluent on rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri) and the water flea (Daphnia magna) during partial chronic and chronic exposure. Report Bw-78-2-040. Prepared for the Anaconda Company. Butte, Montana. 30 pp.
- Bionomics. 1979. The effects of continuous exposure to a treated mining/smelting effluent on selected aquatic organisms. Report BW-79-8-531. Prepared for the Anacondo Company. Butte, Montana. 29 pp.
- Fleis, J.L. 1981. Statistical methods for rates and proportions. 2nd edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York. 321 p.
- Goettl, J.P., J.R. Sinley, and P.H. Davies. 1971. Water pollution studies. Job Progress Report, Federal Aid Project F-33-R-6, Colorado Division of Wildlife, Fort collins, Colorado.
- Ingman, G. 1990. Personal Communication. Water Quality Bureau, Montana Department of Health and Environmental Sciences.
- Janik, J.J., and S.M. Melancon. 1982. Site specific water quality assessment, Silver Bow Creek and Clark Fork, Montana. EPA 600/x-83-003. Las Vegas, Nevada.
- Johnson, H.E. and C.L. Schmidt. 1988. Clark Fork Basin Project, status report and action plan. Office of the Governor, Helena, Montana.
- Lazorchak, J. 1986. Report on findings of acute and chronic *Ceriodaphnia* toxicity tests of the upper Clark Fork River and Silver Bow Creek Superfund sites. Memorandum to Lee Shanklin dated February 10, 1986. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII. Denver, Colorado.

- McKim, J.M., and D.A. Benoit. 1974. Duration of toxicity tests for establishing "no effect" concentrations for copper with brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board Canada 31(4): 449-452.
- Mount, D.I. 1964. And autopsy technique for zinc-caused fish mortality. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 93(2): 174-182.
- Nimmo, D. 1987. Clark Fork Profile Data. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII. Denver, Colorado.
- Parrish, L., and G. Rodriguez. 1986. A thirty-day flow-through bioassay test on copper and zinc toxicity in the Clark Fork River near Deer Lodge, Montana, May 7-June 6, 1985. Environmental Protection Agency 908/3-86-001. Denver, Colorado.
- Phillips, G.R. 1985. Relationships among fish populations, metals concentrations, and stream discharge in the upper Clark Fork River. In Proceedings of the Clark Fork River Symposium, edited by C.E. Carlson and L.L. Bahls. Montana Academy of Sciences, Montana College of Mineral Science and Technology. Butte, Montana.
- Solbe, J.F. de L.G., and V.A., Cooper. 1976. Studies on the toxicity of copper sulfate to stone loach *Noemachelilus barbatulus* (L.) in hard water. Water Research 10(6): 523-527.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1985. Ambient aquatic life water quality criteria for copper. ESEPA, Duluth, Minnesota. 141 p.